



RENT BOARD

July 24, 2024

5:00 PM

ZOOM INFORMATION:

- a. Please click the link below to join the webinar:
<https://portlandmaine-gov.zoom.us/j/86347535152?pwd=RjZOVTlGUzZhZG9JQmJkV0ZvS2FWQT09>
Passcode: 233330
Or One tap mobile :
+13092053325,,86347535152#,,, *233330# US
+13126266799,,86347535152#,,, *233330# US (Chicago)
Or Telephone:
Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
+1 309 205 3325 US
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 646 931 3860 US
+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)
+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)
+1 305 224 1968 US
+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
+1 360 209 5623 US
+1 386 347 5053 US
+1 507 473 4847 US
+1 564 217 2000 US
+1 669 444 9171 US
+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
+1 689 278 1000 US
+1 719 359 4580 US
+1 253 205 0468 US
Webinar ID: 863 4753 5152
Passcode: 233330
International numbers available: <https://portlandmaine-gov.zoom.us/j/kd3GhVyUOe>

II. ROLL CALL:

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- a. June 26, 2024 Minutes

IV. COMMUNICATIONS:

Please note: Written public comment must be received via email (rentboard@portlandmaine.gov) by 12pm the day before the scheduled meeting. The subject line needs to read "Written Public Comment"

- a. Rent Control 2024 Quarter 2 Report

V. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

- a. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment
Owner: Forty Nine LLC, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Address: 19 Parris St, Units 1-9
CBL: 033-I-005-001
- b. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment
Owner: Spar Inc, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Address: 20 Melbourne St, all 7 units
CBL: 014-G-014-001
- c. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment
Owner: West Company, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Address: 55 William St, all 12 units
CBL: 116-A-025-001
- d. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment
Owner: West Company, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101
Address: 60 Carleton St, all 4 units
CBL: 063-D-013-001

VI. New Business

- a. Schedule Tenant Rights Hearing: 655 Congress St
- b. Schedule Tenant Rights Hearing: 59 State St
- c. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment
Owner: West Street Partners LLC, 82 Hanover St Suite 5, Portland, ME 04101
Agent: Caleb Normandeau with Port Property, 188 State St Unit 309, Portland, ME 04101
Address: 40-42 West St, all 12 units
CBL: 063-004-001
- d. Tenant Rights Appeal - Public Comment
Appellant: Jaime Devins & Evan Long, 44 Dirigo St, Unit #2, Portland, ME 04102
Property Owners: James Lannon, 1530 Congress St, Portland, ME 04102
Julie Contreras-Lannon, 172 Bradley St, Portland, ME 04102
CBL: 188-A-009-001

VII. Adjourn

Remote Rent Board Meeting Minutes - Held Via Zoom

June 26, 2024

II. Roll Call - 0:00:40

Kristen Carreras, Landlord, At-Large
Matthew Lax, Tenant, District 1
Matthew Walker, Tenant, District 2
Philip Mathieu, Tenant, District 5 - Chair

Late:

Christopher "Buddy" Moore, Tenant, District 3 - Vice Chair - Joined 0:03:10
Caleb Roebuck, Tenant, District 4 - Joined 01:49:29

Absent:

Anne-Laure Razat, Tenant, At-Large

Staff present:

Dylan Orr, Rental Registration Coordinator
Jessica Hanscombe, Director of Permitting and Inspections
Benjamin Plante, Esq., Counsel for the Rent Board

III. Approval of Minutes - 0:01:20

0:01:45 - Matthew Lax moves to approve May 2, 2024 minutes. Seconded by Kristen Carreras.
(4-0) The motion passes.

0:02:05 - Matthew Walker moves to approve May 13, 2024 minutes. Seconded by Matthew Lax.
(4-0) The motion passes.

0:03:10 - Christopher "Buddy" Moore joins the meeting.

0:03:20 - Matthew Walker moves to approve May 22, May 29, June 3 minutes. Seconded by Kristen Carreras. (5-0) The motion passes.

IV. Communications

0:04:16 - City Staff Communications related to Rule Changes. Chair decided to revisit these at the end of the meeting

V. Unfinished Business - 0:06:10

a. Rent Increase Application - 0:07:21

Owner: Forty Nine LLC, 82 Hanover St. Portland, ME 04101

Property Address: 19 Parris St, Units 1-9

Reopening Public Hearing

0:09:23 - Applicant - David Bergeron

0:30:22 - Public Comment - Matthew Walker

0:34:35 - Applicant Response - David Bergeron

Questions from the Board

0:38:50 - Matthew Lax asks, "Do you know the percent change of the payroll, insurance expense, and professional fees from the previous year?"

0:40:44 - Christopher "Buddy" Moore asks, "Do you have an idea to a degree the costs that you said increased due to inflation are recouped by that CPI escalator?"

0:47:00 through 01:18:30 - Deliberations

01:18:30 - Kristen Carreras moves to approve 19 Parris Street for a Rent Increase of \$94.22 per month per unit. Seconded by Philip Matthieu. (3-1, Moore votes no) The motion fails.

01:39:50 Philip Mathieu moves to table items V.a. through V.d. to the next regularly scheduled meeting or a special meeting between now and that day, with the understanding that interested parties must be notified if it is other than the regularly scheduled meeting. Seconded by Matthew Lax. (5-0) The motion passes.

b. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment - See 01:39:50

Owner: Spar Inc, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Address: 20 Melbourne St, all 7 units

CBL: 014-G-014-001

c. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment - See 01:39:50

Owner: West Company, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Address: 55 William St, all 12 units

CBL: 116-A-025-001

d. Rent Increase Application - Public Comment - See 01:39:50

Owner: West Company, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Agent: David Bergeron with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

e. Discussion of Rent Board Rules and Procedures Changes - 01:41:25

01:43:25 - Benjamin Plante clarifies and overviews edits made to the proposed rule change.

01:45:25 - Dylan Orr discusses the memo submitted by City Staff.

01:49:29 - Caleb Roebuck joins the meeting.

02:18:20 - Matthew Lax moves to accept rule change as written and we begin drafting additions to applications and forms to notify applicant that they are submitting information and all of it will be public and provide them an option within the form to state that they are submitting privileged or confidential information and they understand it must be reviewed by corporation council. Seconded by Matthew Walker. (6-0) The motion passes.

02:24:50 - Matthew Walker volunteers to take on drafting changes.

VI. New Business

a. Voting for Election of Chair and Vice Chair - 02:25:53

02:29:50 - Philip Mathieu discusses what entails being Chair of the Rent Board.

02:36:40 - Matthew Lax moves to nominate Christopher "Buddy" Moore as new Chair of the Rent Board. Seconded by Philip Mathieu. (6-0) The motion passes.

02:37:47 - Caleb Roebuck moves to nominate Philip Mathieu as Vice Chair of the Rent Board. Seconded by Kristen Carreras. (6-0) The motion passes.

b. Rent Board Annual Report Update - 02:38:25

02:38:40 -Matthew Walker discusses what has been done thus far and suggestions on how to document outliers.

02:44:43 - Christopher "Buddy" Moore requests a current report from the City.

02:48:28 - Matthew Lax discusses progress on the legal front.

02:49:22 - Matthew Walker wants to use the TRA from previous ordinance to create a reasonable range per unit.

02:58:18 - Dylan Orr discussion about Recusal Rule approved by Rent Board on April 24, 2024 for City Council meeting on July 15, 2024.

02:59:02 - Christopher "Buddy" Moore said would be available to attend the meeting on July 15, 2024.

VII. Adjourn - 3:00:52

03:00:58 - Caleb Roebuck moves to Adjourn. Seconded by Matthew Walker. (6-0) The motion passes.

City of Portland | Permitting and Inspections
Jessica Hanscombe, *Director*



TO: Housing & Economic Development Committee
CC: Rent Board
FROM: Jessica B. Hanscombe, Director of Permitting and Inspections
DATE: July 1, 2024
RE: Quarterly Rent Control Report- Q2-2024

Rent Control Report Quarter 2 (4/1/2024-6/30/2024)

Rent Board

	Total # of Applications	Total # of Applications approved	Total # of Applications Denied	Total # of Applications Tabled
Rent Increases	6	2	0	4
Complaints	1	1	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Quarter 2 Total:	7	3	0	4
Year to date Total	10	5	0	5

Rent Control Inspections

Type of Inspections	Total # Properties	Total # of units
<u>Complaints</u> (Potential violations reported to our office)	14	44
<u>Audits</u> (Proactive Enforcement based on percentage that rent was increased)	31	611
<u>Quality Control</u> (proactive verification of Data supplied to our office)	150	1105
Quarter 2 Total:	195	1760
Year to date Total	257	2,221

City of Portland - Housing Safety Division
LANDLORD APPLICATION FOR RENT INCREASE SUMMARY

Date of Hearing

August 23, 2023 - Tabled to December 27, 2023 - Tabled to April 24, 2024 - Tabled to May 22, 2024 - Tabled to June 26, 2024 - Tabled to July 24, 2024

Owner Name and Address

Forty Nine LLC, 82 Hanover St. Portland, ME 04101

Landlord's Representative and Address

Caleb Normandeau with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Property Address

19 Parris St, Units 1-9

CBL

033-I-005-001

Tenants/Interested Parties

Yes

Type of Request

- Renovation of a unit or Reconfiguration of one or more units (6-233(c))
- Capital Improvement Costs, including financing costs (6-234(b)(5)(a))
- Uninsured repair costs (6-234(b)(5)(d))
- X Increased housing service costs (6-234(b)(5)(c))
- Fair Rate of Return (6-234(b)(5)(d))

City of Portland - Housing Safety Division
LANDLORD APPLICATION FOR RENT INCREASE SUMMARY

Date of Hearing

August 23, 2023 - Tabled to December 27, 2023 - Tabled to April 24, 2024 - Tabled to May 22, 2024 - Tabled to June 26, 2024 - Tabled to July 24, 2024

Owner Name and Address

Spar Inc, 82 Hanover St. Portland, ME 04101

Landlord's Representative and Address

Caleb Normandeau with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Property Address

20 Melbourne St, all 7 units

CBL

014-G-014-001

Tenants/Interested Parties

Yes

Type of Request

- Renovation of a unit or Reconfiguration of one or more units (6-233(c))
- Capital Improvement Costs, including financing costs (6-234(b)(5)(a))
- Uninsured repair costs (6-234(b)(5)(d))
- X Increased housing service costs (6-234(b)(5)(c))
- Fair Rate of Return (6-234(b)(5)(d))

City of Portland - Housing Safety Division
LANDLORD APPLICATION FOR RENT INCREASE SUMMARY

Date of Hearing

August 23, 2023 - Tabled to December 27, 2023 - Tabled to April 24, 2024 - Tabled to May 22, 2024 - Tabled to June 26, 2024 - Tabled to July 24, 2024

Owner Name and Address

West Company, 82 Hanover St. Portland, ME 04101

Landlord's Representative and Address

Caleb Normandeau with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Property Address

55 William St, all 12 units

CBL

116-A-025-001

Tenants/Interested Parties

Yes

Type of Request

- Renovation of a unit or Reconfiguration of one or more units (6-233(c))
- Capital Improvement Costs, including financing costs (6-234(b)(5)(a))
- Uninsured repair costs (6-234(b)(5)(d))
- X Increased housing service costs (6-234(b)(5)(c))
- Fair Rate of Return (6-234(b)(5)(d))

City of Portland - Housing Safety Division
LANDLORD APPLICATION FOR RENT INCREASE SUMMARY

Date of Hearing

August 23, 2023 - Tabled to December 27, 2023 - Tabled to April 24, 2024 - Tabled to May 22, 2024 - Tabled to June 26, 2024 - Tabled to July 24, 2024

Owner Name and Address

West Company, 82 Hanover St. Portland, ME 04101

Landlord's Representative and Address

Caleb Normandeau with Port Property, 82 Hanover St, Portland, ME 04101

Property Address

60 Carleton St, all 4 units

CBL

063-D-013-001

Tenants/Interested Parties

Yes

Type of Request

- Renovation of a unit or Reconfiguration of one or more units (6-233(c))
- Capital Improvement Costs, including financing costs (6-234(b)(5)(a))
- Uninsured repair costs (6-234(b)(5)(d))
- X Increased housing service costs (6-234(b)(5)(c))
- Fair Rate of Return (6-234(b)(5)(d))



Trelawny Tenants Union

TrelawnyTenantsUnion@gmail.com

July 3, 2024

Portland Rent Board

Mr. Buddy Moore

Via email: rentboard@portlandmaine.gov rentcontrol@portlandmaine.gov

Dear Chair Moore,

Pursuant to the standing granted to tenant unions under section 6-243(b) of the city code, we submit this complaint on behalf of all tenants at 655 Congress and 59 State to the Rent Board regarding Mr. Geoffrey Rice and his substantial non-compliance with the Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO) as per Sec 6-234 (f).

As you know, Sec 6-234 (f) provides, “[a] landlord who is *not in substantial compliance with any provision of this chapter*, including but not limited to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance, may not demand, accept or retain *any* rent increase otherwise permitted by this section or any other provision of this code or Maine statute.” (emphasis added)

This provision, adopted in 2022 by the voters of Portland, requires that any landlord substantially out of compliance with *any* provision of the City’s building and housing regulations may not impose or collect *any* rent increases on *any* unit until they are back in compliance.

While this complaint will outline multiple separate violations impacting over 50 tenants, as the Rent Board ruled in its 36 Sawyer Street ruling on June 3, 2024, any one of these violations can constitute “substantial non-compliance.”

“... the Board concludes that more than one violation of the RSO is not necessary to constitute substantial noncompliance pursuant to section 6-234(f). In other words, a single violation of the RSO may constitute substantial noncompliance with the RSO for the purposes of section 6-234(f).”¹

Based on individual complaints we have filed, and ultimately confirmed by Portland’s Housing Safety Office (HSO), Mr. Rice has been ruled out of compliance at least 15 times in the past six months, having over-charged tenants almost \$11,000. These violations cover the entire period of 2023 and continue into 2024.

Building	Apt #	Code Case #	Status	Dates Overcharged	Amt. Overcharged
59 State	1	BLDG2306278	Violation	6/2021-11/2023 (29 months)	\$587.13
59 State	2	BLDG2306281	Violation	6/2021-11/2023 (29 months)	\$752.16

¹ Rent Board final decision on 36 Sawyer Street, footnote #4.

59 State	22	BLDG2306283	Violation	11/2022-11/2023 (12 months)	\$188.04
59 State	31	BLDG2306278	Violation	6/2020-11/2023 (41 months)	\$807.56
59 State	45	BLDG2306282	Violation	8/2022-11/2023 (15 months)	\$452.41
59 State	62	BLDG2306283	Violation	9/2020-11/2023 (38 months)	\$1,394.36
59 State	63	BLDG2306283	Violation	1/2023-11/2023 (10 months)	\$250.00
59 State	67	BLDG2306278	Violation	11/2021-11/2023 (24 months)	\$612.96
655 Congress	212	BLDG2306553	Violation	8/2022-2/2024 (18 months)	\$490.08
655 Congress	404	BLDG2306359	Violation	1/2023-2/2024 (13 months)	\$649.20
655 Congress	415-416	BLDG2306359	Violation	1/2023-2/2024 (13 months)	\$363.25
655 Congress	602	BLDG2306360	Violation	10/2021-2/2024 (28 months)	\$707.32
655 Congress	620-622	BLDG2306360	Violation	3/2022-12/2023 (21 months)	\$2,545.68
655 Congress	723-726	BLDG2306362	Violation	2/2022-2/2024 (24 months)	\$1,124.90
655 Congress	819	RCC2400010	Violation	10/2022-6/2024 (21 months)	\$1,238.28
			Total		\$12,163.33

Additionally, the HSO ruled last May, and the Rent Board confirmed last November, that Mr. Rice had illegally passed on a gas fee to almost every tenant in 655 Congress Street, amounting to over \$3,500 in overcharges to 44 tenants from January 1, 2023 to December 1, 2023.

655 Congress

Unit #	Code Case #	Status	Months Overcharged (2023)	Amt Overcharged
203	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
204	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
208	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
209	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
211	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
214	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
222	BLDG2305814	Violation	8 months	\$96.00
303	BLDG2305814	Violation	1 months	\$12.00
306	BLDG2305814	Violation	3 months	\$36.00
309	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
311	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
312	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
315	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
320	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
322	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
324	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$73.00

326	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
327	BLDG2305814	Violation	4 months	\$48.00
405	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
410	BLDG2305814	Violation	3 months	\$36.00
411	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
418	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
501	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
503	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
510	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
601	BLDG2305814	Violation	9 months	\$108.00
604	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
610	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
620	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
627	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
701	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
707	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$73.00
709	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
710	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
718	BLDG2305814	Violation	9 months	\$108.00
720	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
723	BLDG2305814	Violation	6.5 months	\$78.00
727	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
728	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
810	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
817	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
821	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
826	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
827	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
		Total	295.5 Months	\$3,548.00

The above totals (not including those yet to be uncovered) amount to over \$15,000 in refunds Mr. Rice has had to issue in the past six months for violations covering from June 2020, through the period of January 1, 2023, to June 2024 (another letter of violation was delivered just last week), the period covered since section 6-234(f) went into effect.

We would also like to point out, as your records show, this pattern of non-compliance with Mr. Rice has been non-stop since the ordinance went into effect. This Rent Board recommended that the City fine him \$15,350 back in 2022 for a series of violations including overcharges, repeated illegal rent increase notices, and attempting to charge tenants for personal expenses related to his 3,000 sq. ft. penthouse. At the time, Mr. Rice told the City he would comply, the City believed him, and the fine was not collected.

Additionally, at least five of the rent hike violations above are repeat offenses on the same unit, and sometimes the same tenant. In the complaint we filed with the HSO regarding units 212, 404, 415, 620, & 723 (attached), you will note that Mr. Rice had been ordered to correct problems with these units in 2022. After he corrected them (which he initially did), he actually went back and again imposed illegal rent hikes on those same units.

In particular, please review unit 620. In this instance, three months after he presented the City with evidence that he had refunded the tenant and lowered the rent to the allowable amount, he wrote to the tenant and reinstated the previous illegal rent level. We have enclosed the letter he sent the tenant on March 9, 2022, in which he said, "I received inaccurate information from a rent board employee [Mr. Chuck Fagone] and that was the reason on December 14, 2021, I sent you a check of \$1,991.25..." He then reinstated the previous rent level of \$1,250, almost \$150 above what he had been ordered to charge. Once uncovered by this union over a year later, the city investigated and ordered him to pay back another \$2,545 and drop the rent back to what was allowable by law.

The above violations meet any plausible understanding of what constitutes being "substantially out of compliance" during the period these violations occurred. Additionally, note that these violations are part of a pattern by Mr. Rice. Indeed, as [reported](#) by the Portland Press Herald, Mr. Rice has more violations of the RSO than any other landlord in Portland.

Finally, the rule contained in Sec. 6-234(f) applies broadly to "[a] *landlord*" (emphasis added) who is out of compliance, and bars that *landlord* from raising rents. Nothing in this provision limits the extent of its prohibition merely to the units for which he is presently charging noncompliant rents. The Board should not infer such a limitation where it is entirely absent from the plain language of the ordinance. Rather, the plain language provides that the *landlord* may not impose or collect upon *any* rent increase.

In light of this overwhelming evidence showing clearly habitual substantial non-compliance, we ask that you:

- 1) Require Mr. Rice to rescind all rent increases imposed on all tenants in 655 Congress Street and 59 State Street since January 1, 2023. As you did with 36 Sawyer Street, we ask that at both properties you require him to refund what was collected, forbid him from banking any annual increases which he was never entitled to impose, and insist that he provide 90 days notice for any future increases once he is confirmed to be in full compliance.**
- 2) Recommend a fully public and transparent audit of Mr. Rice's properties to determine where other violations have occurred. Indeed, almost every time we review an issue, it turns out to be a violation. There is simply no reason to believe this trend doesn't exist throughout all of his properties.**
- 3) Impose fines on Mr. Rice to the fullest extent the law allows for this willful non-compliance. Simply requiring him to refund what was not his in the first place, is clearly not a deterrent. Without the prospect of fines, he has already demonstrated that he will continue to brazenly and unlawfully gouge his tenants.**

In regard to point three, we request a fine schedule, at a minimum, similar to what was imposed on the landlord for Sawyer Street:

"The Board finds that it is appropriate to assess a \$100 fine to the Landlords for each instance the Landlords charged the Tenants rent that exceeded the limits established by section 6-234(c)."

In this instance, that fine schedule would look like this:

Building	Apt #	Months Overcharged	FINE
59 State	1	29	\$2,900
	2	29	\$2,900
	22	12	\$1,200
	31	41	\$4,100
	45	15	\$1,500
	62	38	\$3,800
	63	10	\$1,000
	67	24	\$2,400
655 Congress	212	18	\$1,800
	404	13	\$1,300
	415-416	28	\$2,800
	602	28	\$2,800
	620-622	21	\$2,100
	723-726	24	\$2,400
	819	21	\$2,100
Gas Fee		278*	\$27,800
		TOTAL	\$62,900

*This number is below the 296 charges seen in the gas fee chart above because we eliminated those charges from the two units that overlapped between an illegal rent increase and the illegal gas fee (units 620 and 723). We believe Mr. Rice should be fined only once per month when he overcharged a tenant, even if there were two separate illegal charges.

Please note, as you consider these fines, our landlord collects \$2,181,293 annually from the tenants of 59 State Street and 655 Congress (based on CSS published Nov. 2023 rent levels). If the Board fines him the full amount above, it would amount to less than 3% of his gross income from just these two properties. In comparison, the fines the Board issued against the landlord of Sawyer Street, not including your decision to rescind all rent increases on the unit, amounted to almost 15% of their gross revenue.

Less than 3% of gross revenue is not too steep a price to pay for his ongoing negligence, repeat offenses, and blatant reversal of previous directives. In fact, we consider \$100 per instance for a repeat offender to be the minimum. A penalty of \$200 per instance would be more in line.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. We request that all documents and communications pertaining to this investigation be made public upon completion.

Izzy Ostrowski, Matt Walker, Brittany Herland, Brandon Pariseau, Valen Doe, James Dillon, Bligh Godin, Ethan Strimling, Ashley Cordio, Clifford Tremblay, Ian Jacob, David Klosen, Hannah Ferguson, Victoria Van Berlo, Tiffiny McCollett, Hadrienne Hatfield, Abigail Jakub, Kshama Ananthapura, Emily Sanborn, Jacob Pauley, and Tom Baldwin.

TTU Steering Committee & tenants of Geoffrey Rice

Attachment A - City email to Geoffrey Rice demanding proof that he had reimbursed multiple tenants at 655 Congress, including units 212, 404, 415, 620, & 723 (725).

From: [Zachary Lenhart](#)
To: apartmentmart1952@gmail.com; [Gillian Morrison](#)
Cc: [Chuck Fagone](#)
Subject: Re: Housing Issues regarding Apartment Mart, LLC
Date: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 1:18:41 PM
Attachments: [Trewlany Complaint 655 Congress&59 State \(1\).xlsx](#)

Below is the information we still need in order for these properties to be in compliance with the Rent Control ordinance. This information was requested weeks ago. Reinspection fees will start to be assessed if we need to request this information again.

59 State St:

Unit 22: proof/back-up rent was \$1360 in June 2020

Unit 37: proof/receipt of reimbursement

655 Congress St:

Unit 404: proof/back-up rent was \$975 in June/August 2020

Unit 312: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 221: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 415-416: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 725: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 212: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 509: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 620-622: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Attachment B - Email to Mr. Rice from HSO explicitly explaining that the effective date of the base rent was June 1, 2020, not November, 2020.

From: [Chuck Fagone](#)
To: [Apartment Mart](#)
Subject: Re: Questions
Date: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:17:28 AM

When implemented or voted on and passed by the public, the baseline rent was established as of 6/1/2020. Therefore, you need to use what the rent was on 6/1/2020 regardless of who the tenant was at the time. Any new tenants after that time you are limited to 5% increase of that base rent. thanks, Chuck

On Tue, Nov 23, 2021 at 3:48 PM Apartment Mart
<apartmentmart1952@gmail.com> wrote:

If the new rental statutes came into effect in November of 2020, and the units in question at 59 State Street and 655 Congress Street were rented before the new rental statutes were voted into effect, does that make them exempt from the 5% rule? The majority if not all were rented before November 2020.

Thank you,
Meghan

--

Apartment Mart
Residential Rentals
Portland & Biddeford
P: 207-773-1814
F: 207-773-5528

Attachment C - Email to Mr. Rice explaining what the rent levels needed to be for the units audited in 2021.

From: [Chuck Fagone](#)
To: [Apartment Mart](#)
Cc: [Fagone, Chuck](#); [Zachary Lenhart](#)
Subject: Re: Rental increase complaint addressed
Date: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:15:45 PM

Thank you for providing the info. After reviewing:

Please keep in mind that for new tenants, you are limited to a 5% increase over the 6/1/20 rent amount. Based on this, the following units would be capped at 5% and the difference to include the number of months paid over the 5% will need to be refunded to the tenants on the following units. Please provide me with copies of checks and letters to the tenants as you did with 59 State #37

59 State Street

#43 \$1548.75 per month

655 Congress Street

#404 \$971.25
#312 \$771.35
#221 \$1044.75
#415-416 \$934.50
#725-726 \$1501.50
#212 \$745.25
#509 \$740.25
620-622 \$1102.50

In addition, for those where you believe that there was an error in what the Tenants Union provided us for a base amount, please provide documentation for what the lease amount was in June of 2020. Example, 59 State #22.

Finally, please factor in the 75 day notice on any future rent increases. Given the date of 11/19/20, if a rent increase notice was provided to a tenant today, the rent increase would have to be effective 3/1/22.

If you have questions, please advise. thanks, Chuck

Attachment D - Letter Mr. Rice sent to the city and to the tenant in unit 620 as evidence he was in compliance with HSO's requirement to lower the rent and reimburse the tenant for a rent increase violation (similar letters were sent for all tenants under this order).

TRELAWNY 657, LLC

657 Congress Street
First Floor
Portland, Maine 04101
(207) 773-1814

December 14, 2021


655 Congress Street
Apartment No. 620-622
Portland, Maine 04101


Your apartment rental payments beginning November 16, 2020, were One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250.00) per month. The amount under rent control regulation is One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). The overpayment is One Hundred Forty Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$147.50) per month (\$1,250.00 - \$1,102.50). Enclosed is a check for One Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety One Dollars and Twenty Five Cents (\$1,991.25) for the overpayment of 13.5 months (November 16, 2020 through December 31, 2021). January 2022, and February 2022, rental will be One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). Your rental beginning March 1, 2022, will be based on old rental being One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). Enclosed is your 75 day Notice that your rental beginning March 1, 2022 is One Thousand Two Hundred Twelve Dollars and Seventy Five Cents (\$1,212.75). This is based on increase in CPI and Real Estate Tax increase.

Yours Truly,

Geoffrey I. Rice

**Attachment E - Letter Mr. Rice sent to the tenants of unit 620 rescinding the rent decrease
HSO had ordered him to implement 10 weeks prior.**

Trelawny 657, LLC
657 Congress Street
First Floor
Portland, Maine 04101
(207) 773-1814


March 9, 2022

[REDACTED]
655 Congress Street
Apartment No. 620-622
Portland, Maine 04101

[REDACTED]

The rent control law passed on November 2, 2020. I have read it three times and have checked with my attorney. The law began November 2, 2020, and runs from that date forward and not before that date to occurrence that happens before November 2, 2020. I received inaccurate information from a rent board employee and that was the reason on December 14, 2021, I sent you a check of \$1,991.25 with my revised 75 day notice. I am not requesting a return of those funds. In the current 75 day notice of a rent increase on June 1, 2022, I am using the old rent at \$1,250.00. We rented your apartment on September 15, 2020, at \$1,250.00 per month to a woman who for personal reasons vacated prior to November 15, 2020. We charged you the same \$1,250.00 rental that she paid us. On the current 75 day notices that a rental increase will occur on June 1, 2022, we are using the amount of \$1,250.00 as the old rental.

Yours Truly,



Geoffrey I. Rice

Fwd: Trelawney Tenants' Union complaint against Geoffrey Rice

Jeremy Dean <jwdean2017@jwdlegal.com>

Tue, Jul 23, 2024 at 2:33 PM

To: Zachary Lenhart <zlenhart@portlandmaine.gov>

Cc: Amy McNally <amcnally@portlandmaine.gov>, Dylan Orr <dorr@portlandmaine.gov>

Hi Zachary,

I will be out on vacation from August 23 to September 1, 2024, so I would just request that the hearing not be set during that time period. Also, given the complexity of the arguments made by the tenants and my ongoing schedule, I would request that the hearing not be set in August at all. The regular meeting in September would work or a special meeting after September 6, 2024.

Can you make my requests known to the board at the meeting? Just let me know.

Thank you,

Jeremy

[Quoted text hidden]



Trelawny Tenants Union

TrelawnyTenantsUnion@gmail.com

July 3, 2024

Portland Rent Board

Mr. Buddy Moore

Via email: rentboard@portlandmaine.gov rentcontrol@portlandmaine.gov

Dear Chair Moore,

Pursuant to the standing granted to tenant unions under section 6-243(b) of the city code, we submit this complaint on behalf of all tenants at 655 Congress and 59 State to the Rent Board regarding Mr. Geoffrey Rice and his substantial non-compliance with the Rent Stabilization Ordinance (RSO) as per Sec 6-234 (f).

As you know, Sec 6-234 (f) provides, “[a] landlord who is *not in substantial compliance with any provision of this chapter*, including but not limited to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance, may not demand, accept or retain *any* rent increase otherwise permitted by this section or any other provision of this code or Maine statute.” (emphasis added)

This provision, adopted in 2022 by the voters of Portland, requires that any landlord substantially out of compliance with *any* provision of the City’s building and housing regulations may not impose or collect *any* rent increases on *any* unit until they are back in compliance.

While this complaint will outline multiple separate violations impacting over 50 tenants, as the Rent Board ruled in its 36 Sawyer Street ruling on June 3, 2024, any one of these violations can constitute “substantial non-compliance.”

“... the Board concludes that more than one violation of the RSO is not necessary to constitute substantial noncompliance pursuant to section 6-234(f). In other words, a single violation of the RSO may constitute substantial noncompliance with the RSO for the purposes of section 6-234(f).”¹

Based on individual complaints we have filed, and ultimately confirmed by Portland’s Housing Safety Office (HSO), Mr. Rice has been ruled out of compliance at least 15 times in the past six months, having over-charged tenants almost \$11,000. These violations cover the entire period of 2023 and continue into 2024.

Building	Apt #	Code Case #	Status	Dates Overcharged	Amt. Overcharged
59 State	1	BLDG2306278	Violation	6/2021-11/2023 (29 months)	\$587.13
59 State	2	BLDG2306281	Violation	6/2021-11/2023 (29 months)	\$752.16

¹ Rent Board final decision on 36 Sawyer Street, footnote #4.

59 State	22	BLDG2306283	Violation	11/2022-11/2023 (12 months)	\$188.04
59 State	31	BLDG2306278	Violation	6/2020-11/2023 (41 months)	\$807.56
59 State	45	BLDG2306282	Violation	8/2022-11/2023 (15 months)	\$452.41
59 State	62	BLDG2306283	Violation	9/2020-11/2023 (38 months)	\$1,394.36
59 State	63	BLDG2306283	Violation	1/2023-11/2023 (10 months)	\$250.00
59 State	67	BLDG2306278	Violation	11/2021-11/2023 (24 months)	\$612.96
655 Congress	212	BLDG2306553	Violation	8/2022-2/2024 (18 months)	\$490.08
655 Congress	404	BLDG2306359	Violation	1/2023-2/2024 (13 months)	\$649.20
655 Congress	415-416	BLDG2306359	Violation	1/2023-2/2024 (13 months)	\$363.25
655 Congress	602	BLDG2306360	Violation	10/2021-2/2024 (28 months)	\$707.32
655 Congress	620-622	BLDG2306360	Violation	3/2022-12/2023 (21 months)	\$2,545.68
655 Congress	723-726	BLDG2306362	Violation	2/2022-2/2024 (24 months)	\$1,124.90
655 Congress	819	RCC2400010	Violation	10/2022-6/2024 (21 months)	\$1,238.28
			Total		\$12,163.33

Additionally, the HSO ruled last May, and the Rent Board confirmed last November, that Mr. Rice had illegally passed on a gas fee to almost every tenant in 655 Congress Street, amounting to over \$3,500 in overcharges to 44 tenants from January 1, 2023 to December 1, 2023.

655 Congress

Unit #	Code Case #	Status	Months Overcharged (2023)	Amt Overcharged
203	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
204	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
208	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
209	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
211	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
214	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
222	BLDG2305814	Violation	8 months	\$96.00
303	BLDG2305814	Violation	1 months	\$12.00
306	BLDG2305814	Violation	3 months	\$36.00
309	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
311	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
312	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
315	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
320	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
322	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
324	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$73.00

326	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
327	BLDG2305814	Violation	4 months	\$48.00
405	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
410	BLDG2305814	Violation	3 months	\$36.00
411	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
418	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
501	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
503	BLDG2305814	Violation	7 months	\$84.00
510	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
601	BLDG2305814	Violation	9 months	\$108.00
604	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
610	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
620	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
627	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
701	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
707	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$73.00
709	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
710	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
718	BLDG2305814	Violation	9 months	\$108.00
720	BLDG2305814	Violation	10 months	\$120.00
723	BLDG2305814	Violation	6.5 months	\$78.00
727	BLDG2305814	Violation	2 months	\$24.00
728	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
810	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
817	BLDG2305814	Violation	11 months	\$132.00
821	BLDG2305814	Violation	6 months	\$72.00
826	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
827	BLDG2305814	Violation	5 months	\$60.00
		Total	295.5 Months	\$3,548.00

The above totals (not including those yet to be uncovered) amount to over \$15,000 in refunds Mr. Rice has had to issue in the past six months for violations covering from June 2020, through the period of January 1, 2023, to June 2024 (another letter of violation was delivered just last week), the period covered since section 6-234(f) went into effect.

We would also like to point out, as your records show, this pattern of non-compliance with Mr. Rice has been non-stop since the ordinance went into effect. This Rent Board recommended that the City fine him \$15,350 back in 2022 for a series of violations including overcharges, repeated illegal rent increase notices, and attempting to charge tenants for personal expenses related to his 3,000 sq. ft. penthouse. At the time, Mr. Rice told the City he would comply, the City believed him, and the fine was not collected.

Additionally, at least five of the rent hike violations above are repeat offenses on the same unit, and sometimes the same tenant. In the complaint we filed with the HSO regarding units 212, 404, 415, 620, & 723 (attached), you will note that Mr. Rice had been ordered to correct problems with these units in 2022. After he corrected them (which he initially did), he actually went back and again imposed illegal rent hikes on those same units.

In particular, please review unit 620. In this instance, three months after he presented the City with evidence that he had refunded the tenant and lowered the rent to the allowable amount, he wrote to the tenant and reinstated the previous illegal rent level. We have enclosed the letter he sent the tenant on March 9, 2022, in which he said, "I received inaccurate information from a rent board employee [Mr. Chuck Fagone] and that was the reason on December 14, 2021, I sent you a check of \$1,991.25..." He then reinstated the previous rent level of \$1,250, almost \$150 above what he had been ordered to charge. Once uncovered by this union over a year later, the city investigated and ordered him to pay back another \$2,545 and drop the rent back to what was allowable by law.

The above violations meet any plausible understanding of what constitutes being "substantially out of compliance" during the period these violations occurred. Additionally, note that these violations are part of a pattern by Mr. Rice. Indeed, as [reported](#) by the Portland Press Herald, Mr. Rice has more violations of the RSO than any other landlord in Portland.

Finally, the rule contained in Sec. 6-234(f) applies broadly to "[a] *landlord*" (emphasis added) who is out of compliance, and bars that *landlord* from raising rents. Nothing in this provision limits the extent of its prohibition merely to the units for which he is presently charging noncompliant rents. The Board should not infer such a limitation where it is entirely absent from the plain language of the ordinance. Rather, the plain language provides that the *landlord* may not impose or collect upon *any* rent increase.

In light of this overwhelming evidence showing clearly habitual substantial non-compliance, we ask that you:

- 1) Require Mr. Rice to rescind all rent increases imposed on all tenants in 655 Congress Street and 59 State Street since January 1, 2023. As you did with 36 Sawyer Street, we ask that at both properties you require him to refund what was collected, forbid him from banking any annual increases which he was never entitled to impose, and insist that he provide 90 days notice for any future increases once he is confirmed to be in full compliance.**
- 2) Recommend a fully public and transparent audit of Mr. Rice's properties to determine where other violations have occurred. Indeed, almost every time we review an issue, it turns out to be a violation. There is simply no reason to believe this trend doesn't exist throughout all of his properties.**
- 3) Impose fines on Mr. Rice to the fullest extent the law allows for this willful non-compliance. Simply requiring him to refund what was not his in the first place, is clearly not a deterrent. Without the prospect of fines, he has already demonstrated that he will continue to brazenly and unlawfully gouge his tenants.**

In regard to point three, we request a fine schedule, at a minimum, similar to what was imposed on the landlord for Sawyer Street:

"The Board finds that it is appropriate to assess a \$100 fine to the Landlords for each instance the Landlords charged the Tenants rent that exceeded the limits established by section 6-234(c)."

In this instance, that fine schedule would look like this:

Building	Apt #	Months Overcharged	FINE
59 State	1	29	\$2,900
	2	29	\$2,900
	22	12	\$1,200
	31	41	\$4,100
	45	15	\$1,500
	62	38	\$3,800
	63	10	\$1,000
	67	24	\$2,400
655 Congress	212	18	\$1,800
	404	13	\$1,300
	415-416	28	\$2,800
	602	28	\$2,800
	620-622	21	\$2,100
	723-726	24	\$2,400
	819	21	\$2,100
Gas Fee		278*	\$27,800
		TOTAL	\$62,900

*This number is below the 296 charges seen in the gas fee chart above because we eliminated those charges from the two units that overlapped between an illegal rent increase and the illegal gas fee (units 620 and 723). We believe Mr. Rice should be fined only once per month when he overcharged a tenant, even if there were two separate illegal charges.

Please note, as you consider these fines, our landlord collects \$2,181,293 annually from the tenants of 59 State Street and 655 Congress (based on CSS published Nov. 2023 rent levels). If the Board fines him the full amount above, it would amount to less than 3% of his gross income from just these two properties. In comparison, the fines the Board issued against the landlord of Sawyer Street, not including your decision to rescind all rent increases on the unit, amounted to almost 15% of their gross revenue.

Less than 3% of gross revenue is not too steep a price to pay for his ongoing negligence, repeat offenses, and blatant reversal of previous directives. In fact, we consider \$100 per instance for a repeat offender to be the minimum. A penalty of \$200 per instance would be more in line.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. We request that all documents and communications pertaining to this investigation be made public upon completion.

Izzy Ostrowski, Matt Walker, Brittany Herland, Brandon Pariseau, Valen Doe, James Dillon, Bligh Godin, Ethan Strimling, Ashley Cordio, Clifford Tremblay, Ian Jacob, David Klosen, Hannah Ferguson, Victoria Van Berlo, Tiffiny McCollett, Hadrienne Hatfield, Abigail Jakub, Kshama Ananthapura, Emily Sanborn, Jacob Pauley, and Tom Baldwin.

TTU Steering Committee & tenants of Geoffrey Rice

Attachment A - City email to Geoffrey Rice demanding proof that he had reimbursed multiple tenants at 655 Congress, including units 212, 404, 415, 620, & 723 (725).

From: [Zachary Lenhart](#)
To: apartmentmart1952@gmail.com; [Gillian Morrison](#)
Cc: [Chuck Fagone](#)
Subject: Re: Housing Issues regarding Apartment Mart, LLC
Date: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 1:18:41 PM
Attachments: [Trewlany Complaint 655 Congress&59 State \(1\).xlsx](#)

Below is the information we still need in order for these properties to be in compliance with the Rent Control ordinance. This information was requested weeks ago. Reinspection fees will start to be assessed if we need to request this information again.

59 State St:

Unit 22: proof/back-up rent was \$1360 in June 2020

Unit 37: proof/receipt of reimbursement

655 Congress St:

Unit 404: proof/back-up rent was \$975 in June/August 2020

Unit 312: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 221: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 415-416: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 725: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 212: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 509: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Unit 620-622: proof/receipt of reimbursement

Attachment B - Email to Mr. Rice from HSO explicitly explaining that the effective date of the base rent was June 1, 2020, not November, 2020.

From: [Chuck Fagone](#)
To: [Apartment Mart](#)
Subject: Re: Questions
Date: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:17:28 AM

When implemented or voted on and passed by the public, the baseline rent was established as of 6/1/2020. Therefore, you need to use what the rent was on 6/1/2020 regardless of who the tenant was at the time. Any new tenants after that time you are limited to 5% increase of that base rent. thanks, Chuck

On Tue, Nov 23, 2021 at 3:48 PM Apartment Mart
<apartmentmart1952@gmail.com> wrote:

If the new rental statutes came into effect in November of 2020, and the units in question at 59 State Street and 655 Congress Street were rented before the new rental statutes were voted into effect, does that make them exempt from the 5% rule? The majority if not all were rented before November 2020.

Thank you,
Meghan

--

Apartment Mart
Residential Rentals
Portland & Biddeford
P: 207-773-1814
F: 207-773-5528

Attachment C - Email to Mr. Rice explaining what the rent levels needed to be for the units audited in 2021.

From: [Chuck Fagone](#)
To: [Apartment Mart](#)
Cc: [Fagone, Chuck](#); [Zachary Lenhart](#)
Subject: Re: Rental increase complaint addressed
Date: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:15:45 PM

Thank you for providing the info. After reviewing:

Please keep in mind that for new tenants, you are limited to a 5% increase over the 6/1/20 rent amount. Based on this, the following units would be capped at 5% and the difference to include the number of months paid over the 5% will need to be refunded to the tenants on the following units. Please provide me with copies of checks and letters to the tenants as you did with 59 State #37

59 State Street

#43 \$1548.75 per month

655 Congress Street

#404 \$971.25
#312 \$771.35
#221 \$1044.75
#415-416 \$934.50
#725-726 \$1501.50
#212 \$745.25
#509 \$740.25
620-622 \$1102.50

In addition, for those where you believe that there was an error in what the Tenants Union provided us for a base amount, please provide documentation for what the lease amount was in June of 2020. Example, 59 State #22.

Finally, please factor in the 75 day notice on any future rent increases. Given the date of 11/19/20, if a rent increase notice was provided to a tenant today, the rent increase would have to be effective 3/1/22.

If you have questions, please advise. thanks, Chuck

Attachment D - Letter Mr. Rice sent to the city and to the tenant in unit 620 as evidence he was in compliance with HSO's requirement to lower the rent and reimburse the tenant for a rent increase violation (similar letters were sent for all tenants under this order).

TRELAWNY 657, LLC

657 Congress Street
First Floor
Portland, Maine 04101
(207) 773-1814

December 14, 2021



655 Congress Street
Apartment No. 620-622
Portland, Maine 04101



Your apartment rental payments beginning November 16, 2020, were One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250.00) per month. The amount under rent control regulation is One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). The overpayment is One Hundred Forty Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$147.50) per month (\$1,250.00 - \$1,102.50). Enclosed is a check for One Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety One Dollars and Twenty Five Cents (\$1,991.25) for the overpayment of 13.5 months (November 16, 2020 through December 31, 2021). January 2022, and February 2022, rental will be One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). Your rental beginning March 1, 2022, will be based on old rental being One Thousand One Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,102.50). Enclosed is your 75 day Notice that your rental beginning March 1, 2022 is One Thousand Two Hundred Twelve Dollars and Seventy Five Cents (\$1,212.75). This is based on increase in CPI and Real Estate Tax increase.

Yours Truly,

Geoffrey I. Rice

**Attachment E - Letter Mr. Rice sent to the tenants of unit 620 rescinding the rent decrease
HSO had ordered him to implement 10 weeks prior.**

Trelawny 657, LLC
657 Congress Street
First Floor
Portland, Maine 04101
(207) 773-1814


March 9, 2022

[REDACTED]
655 Congress Street
Apartment No. 620-622
Portland, Maine 04101

[REDACTED]

The rent control law passed on November 2, 2020. I have read it three times and have checked with my attorney. The law began November 2, 2020, and runs from that date forward and not before that date to occurrence that happens before November 2, 2020. I received inaccurate information from a rent board employee and that was the reason on December 14, 2021, I sent you a check of \$1,991.25 with my revised 75 day notice. I am not requesting a return of those funds. In the current 75 day notice of a rent increase on June 1, 2022, I am using the old rent at \$1,250.00. We rented your apartment on September 15, 2020, at \$1,250.00 per month to a woman who for personal reasons vacated prior to November 15, 2020. We charged you the same \$1,250.00 rental that she paid us. On the current 75 day notices that a rental increase will occur on June 1, 2022, we are using the amount of \$1,250.00 as the old rental.

Yours Truly,



Geoffrey I. Rice

Fwd: Trelawney Tenants' Union complaint against Geoffrey Rice

Jeremy Dean <jwdean2017@jwdlegal.com>

Tue, Jul 23, 2024 at 2:33 PM

To: Zachary Lenhart <zlenhart@portlandmaine.gov>

Cc: Amy McNally <amcnally@portlandmaine.gov>, Dylan Orr <dorr@portlandmaine.gov>

Hi Zachary,

I will be out on vacation from August 23 to September 1, 2024, so I would just request that the hearing not be set during that time period. Also, given the complexity of the arguments made by the tenants and my ongoing schedule, I would request that the hearing not be set in August at all. The regular meeting in September would work or a special meeting after September 6, 2024.

Can you make my requests known to the board at the meeting? Just let me know.

Thank you,

Jeremy

[Quoted text hidden]

City of Portland – Housing Safety Division
MNOI

Date of Hearing:
July 24, 2024

Landlord Name and Address:
West Street Partners LLC
82 Hanover Street, Suite 5
Portland, ME 04101

Agent Name and Address:
Caleb Normandeau
188 State Street, Unit 309
Portland, ME 04101

Property Address:
40-42 West Street Portland,
ME 04102, all 12 units

CBL:
063-H-004-001

Written Public Comment - 40 West St

1 message

Kellie Walsh <kwalshie08@gmail.com>
To: rentboard@portlandmaine.gov

Thu, Jul 18, 2024 at 2:54 PM

Written Public Comment - 40 West St.

Hello Portland Housing Committee,

I recently returned to Portland to live and work in fundraising in the PK - 12 education sector. I am a single female with a dog and feel very fortunate to have landed at 40 West St. Although expensive, I valued living in a safe neighborhood and being close to work. When I saw the email about a rent increase, only a month after moving in, I instantly felt anxiety. While I make a solid income and have a full-time job with benefits, I am single and the additional fees charged by the building for the dog and then paying for some utilities add up. The housing market in Portland is squeezing out people like me. People who live, work, and give back to the community they live in. I understand that this property is an investment, but I ask that they consider the benefits of having tenants like myself who take care of the space and help make this community what it is.

City of Portland – Housing Safety Division
TENANT RIGHTS APPEAL

Date of Hearing:

July 27, 2024

Appellant:

Jaime Devins and Evan Long
44 Dirigo Street, Unit #2
Portland, ME 04102

Owner Name and Address:

James Lannon
1530 Congress Street
Portland, ME 04102

Julie Contreras-Lannon
172 Bradley Street
Portland, ME 04102

Property Address:

44 Dirigo Street, Unit #2
Portland, ME 04102

CBL:

188-A-009-001

City of Portland | Permitting and Inspections
Zachary Lenhert, Licensing and Housing Safety Manager



To: Interested Parties of 44 Dirigo Street, Unit #2
From: Licensing and Housing Safety Office
Re: Tenant Rights Appeal - Notice of Public Meeting

A request for a hearing regarding the rental unit at 44 Dirigo St, unit #2 (CBL: 188-A-009-001) has been submitted to the Rent Board. The request submitted by Jaime Devins and Evan Long is in regards to a potential violation of the City of Portland's Tenants' Rights. A copy of the submitted complaint is attached to this email.

The next regular Rent Board meeting is scheduled for **Wednesday, July 24th, 2024 at 5:00 PM**. Please submit any supporting documentation by **Wednesday, July 10th, 2024** which can be sent to rentboard@portlandmaine.gov. Be advised, this is a public meeting and all documentation submitted can be subject to any Freedom of Access Act requests. All interested parties have the right to appoint representation.

Rent Board meetings are held remotely via Zoom. The agenda with the Zoom link will be posted by Friday, July 19, 2024.

The agenda packet and Zoom link can be found on this page: [Agendas & Minutes - City of Portland, Maine](#)

Thank you,

City of Portland
Business Licensing & Housing Safety Office

July 10, 2024

City of Portland Rent Board
Mr. Philip Mathieu
Via email: rentboard@portlandmaine.gov

Dear Chair Mathieu and esteemed members of the Rent Board,

We, Jaime Devins and Evan Long, have been renting apartment #2 at 44 Dirigo Street, Portland, ME 04102 continuously since September 2012, for the first year on a lease ([Attachment A](#)) and subsequently at will. Under the authority granted to tenants in section 6-234(e) of the city code, and within the Rent Board's jurisdiction as outlined in section 6-263(c), we submit this letter as a formal complaint against the landlords of 44 Dirigo Street, Mr. James "Jim" Lannon, who resides at 1530 Congress Street, Portland, ME 04102, and Ms. Julie Contreras-Lannon, who resides at 172 Bradley Street, Portland, ME 04102, for:

- 1) Failing to post in the building or otherwise to provide to us a copy of Portland's tenant rights documents and other required information regarding building-related environmental hazards when Portland's Rent Control Ordinance went into effect and when it subsequently has been updated, in violation of sec 6-224(b) and sec 6-224(c);
- 2) Falsely registering an inflated base rent amount for the apartment with Portland's rental registration service, in violation of sec 6-151 and 6-233(a);
- 3) Failing to register additional required information about the building, in violation of sec 6-151;
- 4) Falsely registering 44 Dirigo Street as an owner-occupied building with Portland's rental registration service, in violation of sec 6-151;
- 5) Raising the rent of our apartment for August 2022 by 9.52%, from \$1050.00/month to \$1150.00/month, without providing the requisite explanation, in violation of sec 6-234(d);
- 6) Attempting to raise the rent of our apartment for December 2023 by 8.70%, from \$1150.00/month to \$1250.00/month, without providing the requisite explanation, in violation of sec 6-234(d); and
- 7) Retaliating against us when we requested information about our renters' rights, building-related environmental hazards, and the City-mandated process for a rent increase, in violation of sec 6-237(e).

We request that you schedule a hearing on this matter as soon as possible.

Details

Regarding [item #1](#), the failure to provide us with notice of our tenants' rights, when Portland's Rent Control Ordinance went into effect in 2021, we received no communication about it from the landlords. Consequently, we remained unaware of the details of the Ordinance's provisions until we independently researched it on the City's website after having learned more about it during a later, citywide election campaign to keep the Ordinance in place. Following this, in October 2023, for the

first time, we received intentionally altered, incomplete versions of these forms ([Attachment B](#)) from Julie as described under item #6 below. To date, we never have received the full packet of accurately completed, required forms and notices.

Regarding [item #2](#), the falsely inflated base rent amount filed with the City, while we were conducting background research this month as part of preparations to file this complaint, we searched for “44 Dirigo” on Portland’s rental unit registration self-service website, located at <https://selfservice.portlandmaine.gov>. Under the “More Info” tab on the primary registration entry for License Number 20163417, “Julie Lannon,” the base rent was reported as \$1450.00, and the previous rent was reported as \$1400.00, as shown in [Attachment C](#), screenshots from that website. However, as shown in [Attachment D](#), rent receipts in the form of bank deposit tickets (we deposit our rent payments directly to the landlords’ bank account each month), the true rent amount that was in effect on June 1, 2020 was \$1050.00, \$400.00 lower than the reported amount on the City website. This strongly suggests a motive on the part of the landlords to remove us from the property as soon as we showed indications that we would be insisting that the correct process for raising the rent be followed.

Regarding [item #3](#), the failure to register additional information about the building with the City, when we spoke via phone in early June with Adam O’Connor, Portland’s rental unit registration inspector, as part of our background research for this complaint, in addition to confirming that the base rent for the unit had been filed as \$1450.00, Mr. O’Connor also informed us that most of the required forms for the long-term rental registration of 44 Dirigo Street had not been filed and were overdue, so there was little other information available about the account as is reflected on the self-service website.

Regarding [item #4](#), the false registration of 44 Dirigo Street as an owner-occupied building, during our conversation with Adam O’Connor as mentioned in item #3 above, in describing that there was very little information available about the Julie Lannon/20163417 registration account, Mr. O’Connor stated that one of the few data points on record about the apartment, in addition to the incorrect, inflated base rent amount, was that the building had been registered in 2022 as owner-occupied. However, it is not owner-occupied. There are only two units in the building: ours (unit 2), consisting of the second and third floors, and our neighbor’s (unit 1), consisting of the first floor and, informally, the garage, which is located in the rear of the building, close to unit 1’s parking spaces and entrance. (When we first moved in in September 2012, half of the garage was offered to us to share with the then-downstairs tenants, but we declined to use it, and it since has been used exclusively by the occupants of unit 1). While Jim Lannon was personally friendly with the previous downstairs tenants (2012-2016) and appeared to spend time with them socially during some days and evenings, he did not reside with them. After those tenants moved out of unit 1, Jim’s brother-in-law moved into it, and Jim continues to spend time on the property socially some days and evenings when he is in town. (Jim is regularly away with the Merchant Marines for periods of months.). However, we are aware of when Jim’s black pickup truck is parked in the driveway or on the street, which are easily visible from our apartment, and we can see that it does not stay at 44 Dirigo overnight. Julie, as far as we know, spends no time on the property; we in fact have not interacted with her in person since the first year of our tenancy. That the building is not owner-occupied also is supported by the fact that, when a retaliatory conflict began to develop between us and Julie in late 2023 as described in item #7 below, our concerns about our tenants’ rights and her refusal to follow the City’s requirements for covered units could have been settled easily and peaceably by Jim’s or Julie’s pointing out to us that because the building was owner-occupied, it was exempt from these regulations as described in sec 6-231(d). However, as everyone involved knows, it is not owner-occupied and has not been owner-occupied during the nearly twelve

years that we have been renting apartment 2. The tenant of unit 1 also has not ever acted as the landlords' agent in relation to us, the only other tenants in the building, nor have we been directed by Jim or Julie to treat him as such.

Regarding [item #5](#), the raising of our rent in August 2022 by 9.52%, on May 25, 2022, Jaime received an e-mail from Julie ([Attachment E](#)) which stated the following:

“Hello ! How are you? I wanted to check in and see how you are doing. I also wanted to let you know , due to basically everything going up , we will be increasing your rent in August by \$100. You currently do not have a lease. I am more than happy to draw one up. Let me know your thoughts on this. Feel free to reach out with any questions and or concerns . We are happy to have you as tenants!”

(Please see also [Attachment F](#) for a July 2018 e-mail from Julie expressing similarly happy sentiments about our tenancy. This kind of interaction between Julie and us was typical prior to the start of the retaliation in fall 2023, immediately following our protected complaint regarding our rights as tenants.)

Unaware of our tenant rights in May 2022 as described in item #1 above, we replied to Julie via e-mail the following day that the August 2022 rent increase was OK with us ([Attachment G](#)), and we began to pay the increased rent amount of \$1150.00 per month in August 2022 as shown in our rent receipts ([Attachment D](#)). Julie never presented us with a signed or any other copy of the proper rent increase information as then required by the City per sec 6-234(d). She also stated only, “due to basically everything going up,” as the reason, so we have not been able to evaluate the permissibility of the amount as it may have related to banked rent, property tax allowances, etc. Additionally, City code sec 6-234(d) states:

“Before increasing the rent of a Covered Unit, a Landlord must send a signed document to the Tenant(s) no fewer than ninety (90) days before the effective date of the rent increase. This document must include the date on which the Tenancy began, the date on which the rent will be increased, the amount of the increase, any remaining Banked Rent that has not been included in the Rent increase, and the appropriate justifications for such a rent increase as defined in Section 6-234(b) above. Failure to provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of this Article, and any notice not containing all such documentation shall be void.” [emphasis added]

As such, the 2022 rent increase, whatever the allowed amount, is to be considered void. As of the time of this filing, we have made 24 payments (August 2022 to July 2024) at the increased, \$100.00/month-higher amount of \$1150.00 per month.

Regarding [item #6](#), the text-message notice on October 1, 2023 of a proposed rent increase for December 1, 2023 (later moved to January 2024 and then February 2024), this is the event which served as the impetus for the ongoing retaliation and communication breakdown described in item #7 below, so these final two items are interwoven. Before we discuss the nature of the retaliation campaign in that next item, in this item, we will describe the improper rent increase notice process, which we were aware by then was not in compliance with the Portland Rent Control Ordinance, and how we brought this non-compliance to Julie's attention.

On October 1, 2023, Julie sent Jaime a text message ([Attachment H](#)) which stated, “Hi Jamie just wanted to give you notice rent will go up 100 on December 1st 2023 , let me know if you would like

this put in a lease , thanks !” She then added, “Sorry couldn’t find your email , just want you to know if you did a lease in December it wouldnt be for 12 months , it would be for 6 or 9 months . Let me know and Thanks.” (Regarding the length of the lease, Jim and Julie had stated in prior years that if we wanted a lease, they would want it to end on May 31 or August 31 because they believed it would be easier for them to find tenants for 6/1 or 9/1; for example, as shown in [Attachment W](#), in fall of 2017, we stated that we would be interested in a lease from November 1 to October 31, but Julie said she preferred May 31 or August 31 as the end date.) We replied that we could accept the proposed rent increase but questioned the timing of the increase as we had read on the Portland website that 90 days’ notice was now required. Julie replied, “Yes Jamie it does I was going off Maine thanks for catching that it will happen Jan 1.”

We next replied by questioning the amount of the increase as we were aware by this time that there were limits to how much rent could be raised in a year, texting that the Portland website said that the maximum allowable increase percentage for 2024 was 2%, of which this was in excess. Julie replied, “I believe we figured the tax increase , I will get this all in writing in a lease for you with the proper time allowance thanks.” We replied, “OK, if it’s within the allowed limits of a property tax increase, that’s fine. We just don’t have that information. Same with the 2022 increase.” This was the first time we had suggested that the 2022 increase might need to be revisited. We continued, “About the lease, we are happy with the current [at-will] arrangement that we’ve been on for 10 years and would like to stay with that.” Also, at this point, it seemed to us that signing a lease, in addition its to never having been presented as a condition of our continued tenancy, if the lease were not in compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance, it could raise an entirely new set of additional problems, possibly down to its not being valid. Julie replied, “My realtor sent me a summary let me review it , again ! I absolutely could have made an error. I am at work but will get back to you by tomorrow evening.” We replied, “OK.” At this point, it seemed that communications were proceeding constructively, pending her completion of these obligations.

The next day, she texted at 10:18 PM that she would:

“get you a copy of your tenant rights , that we will have you sign , it explains the rent increases , and banked allowances . I will have a rent doc drawn up for Nov 1st giving you 90 days . For the rent increase to start on February 1st . Again if you would like we can do a lease . Just as a heads up , we are going to making some decisions for the future about Dirigo street this Spring. I don’t have any definite answers at this time . I will get you the tenants right info in the next week! Thanks.”

From this, it seemed to us that Julie was stating that it was her intention to move into compliance with the Portland Rent Control Ordinance requirements. However, in the context of our request to review the 2022 rent increase, her additional statement about “decisions for the future about Dirigo Street” concerned us. However, already feeling that the situation was shaky, we wanted to question one element at a time with her and did not immediately request additional details about this, which she already had stated she didn’t have “at this time” anyway.

On October 30, 2023 Julie dropped off the forms in an envelope at our front door. When we had a chance that evening to open the packet and review the materials she had given us ([Attachment B](#)), we were dismayed to realize that they were incomplete and unsigned. Further, the Tenants’ Rights Document had been partially whited-out to conceal the Internet URLs of some of the “Forms required by the State of Maine.” Rather than supplying these, Julie had written, “N/A” or “Nothing to Disclose”

over the white-out. In all, we received: a printed and signed statement similar to her earlier text message regarding the 2024 rent increase but in which she still made no reference to banked rent, property tax allowances, or other required information, and which had no space for us to sign; no statement regarding the 2022 rent increase which we had requested the proper form for; an unsigned smoking policy form; a flyer regarding the hazards of radon but no building-specific radon test information; the EPA's pamphlet on "Protecting Your Family from Lead in Your Home" but no lead-based paint disclosure form; no energy efficiency disclosure form; and an unsigned copy of the City's Rental Housing Rights document, altered using white-out as described above ([Attachment B](#)).

We were aware at this point that Julie seemed to have no actual intention of following the City's Rent Control Ordinance and in fact appeared to be attempting deliberately to defraud us and to block our inquiries into how our rent already had been raised in 2022, among other points. We were very unsure of what to do next as we feared that the situation, already having become unpredictable, with one of the landlords brazenly acting in bad faith, could escalate. We knew at this point that we potentially could submit a complaint to the City about the stonewalling, but as we always had communicated with Jim and Julie privately over the previous decade, our inclination was to continue to try to do that here as well.

While we were deciding what to do next, Julie followed up with us via text on November 13, 2023 ([Attachment I](#)), asking if we had signed the forms or had questions. We replied via text, "It looked like there were some forms missing. Sent you an email." We then sent her an e-mail ([Attachment J](#)) which stated, "On the form for us to sign (Rental Housing Rights), it says that signing indicates receipt of the forms and materials listed, but there were some forms missing," followed by a straightforward listing of the forms' titles and their Internet URLs. She replied via text ([Attachment I](#)) with a string of belligerent responses which mocked the "material and forms" which she was required to provide to us. In this text thread, she also tried to convince us that there was no rent control in effect in 2022 ("2022 rent increase when requested where not in place").

Alarmed, we reached out to Jim via text as we felt that any additional communications with Julie were likely to continue to escalate, and Jim had not yet acted in bad faith toward us on this topic. Jim was away at the time, but when we were able to establish contact with him on November 15, 2023, we sent him a polite e-mail ([Attachment K](#)) which stated that we were having communication difficulties with Julie. The e-mail also brought him up to speed on the relevant details, including the URLs for the missing forms we were requesting. We stated that it was OK with us for Julie to raise the rent, as is a landlord's right, within certain limits and provided that the new process was followed, and that we wanted to resolve the situation as seamlessly as possible and continue on with our longstanding rental relationship.

Following this, Julie replied to Jaime via text ([Attachment M](#)), stating that Jim had sent her the e-mail we had sent to him ([Attachment K](#)) (Jim never replied directly to our e-mail) and that maybe text was not the best way to communicate – though she would soon contradict this – and asking if would we like to meet with her in person to go over the forms. Knowing that she had deliberately altered the forms with white-out and also wanting all communications to be recorded in case we needed to file a complaint with the City, we replied to Julie via e-mail on November 19 ([Attachment N](#)), restating the very clear request we already had made twice (Attachments [J](#) and [K](#)) for the required forms with the URLs included in the e-mails. In our view, were she willing to do so, Julie could have resolved most of this situation at this point:

“Hi Julie, would it be possible for you to drop off the completed forms and any we need to sign and return inside the front entryway, and we could sign and send the Rental Housing Rights and any other forms back to you Monday or Tuesday? And would it be possible for you, on the forms you had nothing to disclose on, to write that and sign and date them with the others? We will get you our signed and dated copy back ASAP.”

We were unsure that a signed and dated form with “nothing to disclose” or “N/A” on it was likely to meet the landlords’ obligations under the City’s Rent Control Ordinance, and we doubted that Julie, no matter how confident her text messages to us, would be willing to sign and date such a questionable statement. However, given that this was something which she had stated to us, whether she truly believed it or not, to be legally satisfactory, we at least wanted her to do that so as to move the process along.

That Julie already was acting in bad faith to an extent which was crippling our good-faith efforts to negotiate for the continued rental of the unit while respecting our tenants’ rights was evident to us. Also, at this time, we consulted an attorney who advised us that Julie indeed did not seem to be in compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance. However, the terminal step, Julie’s backing out of the whole situation with our complaints still unresolved and making the rental definitely unavailable to us in response to our complaints as described in item #7 below, wouldn’t occur until a few months later, in the form of a 90-day Notice to Vacate ([Attachment O](#)), which incidentally goes to show that the landlords do know how to sign and date a form properly when it serves their interests. However, as of this point, the retaliatory Notice had not yet been delivered to us, and we were still hoping that the landlords would resume good-faith communications, beginning by coming into compliance with the Ordinance and delivering the required and repeatedly requested forms to us, working out the rent increase, and so on.

Seemingly true to these hopes, our next contact from Julie, in retrospect apparently designed mainly to continue to stall and string us along in an effort to get closer to a more favorable, 6/1 or 9/1 date on which to try to force us out of the apartment, came through an e-mail to Jaime on November 19, 2023 ([Attachment P](#)). In this e-mail, Julie wrote:

*“Hi Jamie, Sorry for the delayed response. I am waiting on a couple things from the city. Not sure what the timing will be. Reasonable , with the holiday, I can get you all you need by the Tuesday the 28th. Then we can coordinate how forms need to be signed. My schedule it tight for the next few weeks. **I do want to get this done.**”* [emphasis added]

We took her at her word and waited for her to come into compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance. Some time then passed, and then Julie sent a follow-up text message to Jaime on December 5, 2023 ([Attachment Q](#)), in which she stalled again, telling us, “I apologize I have been very sick . I still am waiting on the city, **i will follow up when i have all info**” [emphasis added]. We replied, “Ok, thank you. Feel better.”

Following that December 5 text exchange regarding our outstanding complaints, we waited for Julie to come back to the table and hopefully to resolve our complaints. Our ongoing discussion with her about coming into compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance and entering into good-faith negotiations with us for the continued rental of the apartment at a legally allowable rental rate as she had promised to do on multiple occasions – and which never had been a problem prior to our fall 2023 complaints –

was taking months. We gave her the benefit of the doubt and considered that it truly might be taking some time, possibly even months, to obtain necessary information from the City as she had told us, possibly about the energy efficiency of the building or other technical points relating to the information she was obligated to provide to us. Then, in a sudden about-face, on May 31, 2024, while we still were waiting for Julie to come back to the table, the landlords simply told us to vacate by September 1, 2024, and that the rental was denied to us after that date.

Regarding [item #7](#), retaliation and the making unavailable of a dwelling to us following a protected assertion of our rights and interests under the Rent Control Ordinance, we were sent via USPS mail a Notice to Vacate signed by Jim and dated May 31, 2024, with a move-out deadline of 9/1. However, section 6-237(e) of the City ordinances states:

“It shall be prohibited for a Landlord to refuse to rent or negotiate for the rental of, otherwise make unavailable or deny, a dwelling to a Tenant, retaliate against, or otherwise discriminate against a Tenant because the Tenant, or a Tenants Union on behalf of the Tenant, has complained or initiated a complaint or appeal to assert the Tenant's rights or interests under this Ordinance, or because the Tenant is a member of or participates in a Tenants Union. There is rebuttable presumption that any adverse action by the Landlord, including but not limited to forcible entry and detainer, was commenced in retaliation against the Tenant if, within six months prior to the commencement of the adverse action the Tenant, or a Tenants Union on behalf of the Tenant, complained or initiated a complaint or appeal to assert the Tenant's rights or interests under this Ordinance.”

Prior to our asserting our rights and interests regarding the proposed December 2023 rent increase and related topics as described in item #6 above, we always had had cordial interactions with Julie and Jim in which they expressed their desire to have us continue as their tenants as shown in attachments [E](#) and [F](#) and as we had done in fact for over a decade. However, immediately following our respectful insistence that she provide the required information and come into compliance with Portland’s Rent Control Ordinance, Julie made statements indicating uncertainty about the future of our rental situation, became openly antagonistic toward us and toward her responsibilities as a landlord under the Ordinance, began to negotiate with us in bad faith, and then, when their desired window of opportunity arrived, the landlords followed this with a Notice to Vacate, which we have been given no chance to negotiate.

In addition to the above, on the morning of December 28, 2023, our water was shut off by the Portland Water District for non-payment (by Jim and Julie) for the first time ever. We paid the PWD worker who came to our door with a check, but before he got back to the office, someone paid the bill online, and the PWD returned us our check ([Attachment R](#)). Since this never had happened before in our more than eleven years of renting the apartment, given how precarious our renting relationship had become, with the rent increases and related topics still unresolved, it appeared to us that this could be an instance of intentional harassment by the landlords. It also reinforced to us their overall pattern, following our legally protected complaints, of changing the tone of our previously amicable relationship.

Then, on the morning of May 22, 2024, our water was shut off again for non-payment. This time, we took the option of immediately paying the bill online ([Attachment S](#)) and called the PWD office to ask them to send someone to turn it back on ASAP. We also texted Julie about it at this time, to which she replied, “Oh goodness” ([Attachment T](#)). We worked out via text that we would deduct the amount we had paid from the next month’s (June’s) rent. This event now was more than a one-off.

Our next and most recent contact with Jim or Julie to date was a week and a half later, when we received the 90-day Notice to Vacate, signed by Jim, in the mail on May 31 ([Attachment O](#)). Prior to this, our next-most recent contact with the landlords on any topic related directly to rent, our complaint, or other terms of our continued tenancy was when Julie stated as described above that she was waiting on forms from the City in order to come into compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance and to propose new conditions, in the form of a legally allowable rent increase, for our continued tenancy. The decision to issue us a Notice to Vacate was made by the landlords against our express wishes to find terms under which to continue to rent the apartment. At no time did the landlords present us with an option to stay beyond 9/1 under any circumstances, nor was any legally compliant set of terms under which we could continue to rent the apartment proposed to us as mandatory.

Jim also sent an accompanying e-mail to Jaime ([Attachment U](#)) on the same day that the retaliatory Notice to Vacate was delivered. In it, he stated his reasons for issuing it. He said that he “would like to reacquire the space at 44 Dirigo Street on September 1st” and that it “has nothing to do with you guys as tenants” but rather with “capital repairs” and personal reasons. However, this message has no credibility, particularly in the context of the ongoing, bad-faith communications from Jim and Julie since we began to assert still-unresolved complaints regarding our rights as tenants. Regarding repairs, we already have lived in this apartment through a number of repairs over the past decade when the landlords felt like making them, including heavy roof repairs lasting an entire summer, and in 2019, Jim even booked us a hotel room and offered us the use of his own apartment while he made an overnight repair in the bathroom ([Attachment V](#)). However, other, possibly less-convenient repairs and maintenance requests such as an unanswered 2021 request to deal with the mouse infestation in the building – we have caught over two dozen mice in our apartment since the summer of 2021 ([Attachment X](#)) – or a September 2012 e-mail exchange following our move-in in which Jim acknowledged that there are broken windows and other serious defects in the apartment ([Attachment Y](#)), have been ignored for as long as twelve years, until we questioned the amount and process of rent increases. Again, no effort or offer was made by the landlords to try to negotiate these “capital repairs” with us in a way which would not require us to vacate – because our vacating is the point.

Requests

Since this situation began in fall 2023, the strain that the insecurity of our housing has caused to us has been enormous. As of the date of this amended complaint, July 10, 2024, which is the date by which we were requested by the Rent Board’s secretary, Dylan Orr, to submit supporting documentation, we have not secured alternative housing, nor do we believe we should be required to do so in response to illegal retaliation, which would satisfy the main aim of said illegal retaliation. However, as the earliest the Rent Board can meet to schedule the hearing of our complaint is July 24, less than six weeks before the deadline of the retaliatory Notice to Vacate, with the hearing itself to be conducted sometime thereafter, we may do so. We raise this point because it bears on our primary request for remedy.

Our initial primary request, filed in June, was for the retaliatory Notice to Vacate to be rescinded so that we could continue to rent and live in the apartment. We requested that the Rent Board use its authority to prevent Jim Lannon and Julie Contreras-Lannon from retaliating against us by issuing minimum fines of \$200.00 per day from the day they issued the retaliatory Notice to Vacate (May 31, 2024) and for every day going forward until they rescind this retaliatory Notice, plus any additional actions the Board considers appropriate toward this end. We still request that the Board do this, and also, in the event that, in response to the uncertainty of this situation and the dire possibility of becoming unhoused

if we were to wait too long to seek necessary alternative housing, we have secured alternative housing prior to the Board's acting on our complaint, we ask for an exemption from the normally required notice period which tenants must give landlords prior to breaking off an at-will rental agreement. We request this so as not to obligate us, should the retaliatory Notice to Vacate be rescinded within 30 days of 9/1, to continue to pay for housing we no longer would need beyond that date – and only no longer would need beyond that date because the unaddressed retaliation and the accompanying threats of having eviction proceedings filed against us and our possibly becoming unhoused had pressured us to seek alternative housing while there was still ample time to find and secure it. Also, should the situation arise that the landlords so succeed in illegally forcing us out of our current home and into other housing before the retaliatory Notice is rescinded, we request that the Board award us compensation for the time, effort, and money we will have spent in the costly search for new housing and in the moving process (travel expenses, application fees, agent fees, moving expenses, etc.).

Additionally, City code sec. 6-234(f) states:

“A landlord who is not in substantial compliance with any provision of this chapter, including but not limited to the Rent Stabilization Ordinance, may not demand, accept or retain any rent increase otherwise permitted by this section or any other provision of this code or Maine statute.”

This enforcement mechanism under your jurisdiction requires that any landlord substantially out of compliance with any provision of the Rent Control Ordinance may not impose or collect any rent increases covering that period and until they are back in compliance. With this information, we ask that you require Mr. Lannon and Ms. Contreras-Lannon to rescind all rent increases imposed and/or banked on the property from the beginning of the period when the Rent Control Ordinance went into effect through the date of this letter, and to refund what was collected above the base rent: \$100.00 per month for 24 months, \$2400.00 total as of the date of this letter (or \$2500 if this matter extends beyond 8/1). We further ask that you insist that they provide 90 days notice, according to the required process, for any future rent increases only after they have achieved full compliance. We request that you set the banked rent at what is fully allowable and that you limit any 2024 increase to 2%, plus the banked rent you determine to be allowable, if any.

In relation to the primary point of retaliation and the retaliatory Notice to Vacate, please note that the Rent Control Ordinance is explicit, stating in Sec. 6-237(e):

“It shall be prohibited for a Landlord to refuse to rent or negotiate for the rental of, otherwise make unavailable or deny, a dwelling to a Tenant, retaliate against, or otherwise discriminate against a Tenant because the Tenant ... has complained or initiated a complaint or appeal to assert the Tenant's rights or interests under this Ordinance ... There is a rebuttable presumption that any adverse action by the Landlord ... was commenced in retaliation against the Tenant if, within 6 months prior to the commencement of the adverse action the Tenant ... complained or initiated a complaint or appeal to assert the Tenant's rights or interests under this Ordinance.”

That means, because the retaliatory period began within the 6 month window of our complaint to the landlords, and the Notice to Vacate also was delivered to us within 6 months of our most recent, December 5 exchange with them about it, the burden of proof is on the landlords to show that they are not retaliating. However, as we have presented above, given the context, there is no other plausible explanation but retaliation.

Over the past few years, the landlords, where they have attempted to register the building as a long-term rental at all, knowingly have made false filings to the City about it; they have falsely represented to us that required State disclosures about the building are optional; they have falsely represented to us that rent control was not in effect in 2022; they gave us an intentionally hand-altered, diminished copy of our Tenant Rights Form; they have never been in compliance with major sections of the rent stabilization ordinance; and now, they would have us believe that, after more than a decade of continuous, amicable, at-will tenancy, it is merely a coincidence that they are trying to force us to vacate within months of our first protected complaint under the Rent Control Ordinance. That is not a coincidence; it is retaliation. The landlords have decided they do not need to follow the City's rental regulations, and they are seeking to silence our complaints about it by trying to force us out of our longtime home.

Once you have reviewed these facts, we hope you ensure that our landlords cease their retaliation and come into compliance with the Rent Control Ordinance. Portland voters, including many other at-will tenants, deeply value this Ordinance as shown in our consistent upholding of it at the polls against repeated challenges, and we are counting on you to enforce it. We caution, however, that landlords' merely paying fines to the City in cases of retaliation will not help us tenants directly, and if landlords get the message that violating tenants' rights in this way, effectively side-stepping the Rent Control Ordinance in practice, is just an additional cost of doing business (to be paid for by tenants' rent, of course), then is rent control truly a reality in Portland? Revoking offending landlords' ability to conduct rental operations in the City may therefore be a more appropriate consequence.

Sincerely,
Jaime Devins and Evan Long

Attachments

The attachments listed below have been included on the following pages:

- [Attachment A](#): September 2012, original lease for 44 Dirigo Street
- [Attachment B](#): October 2023, incomplete/legally void signed rent increase form, partially whited-out and unsigned versions of the Tenant Rights Form, and other forms from Julie
- [Attachment C](#): May 2024 screenshots of LTR registration of 44 Dirigo Street on City website showing \$1450.00 registered as base rent
- [Attachment D](#): 2020-2024 rent receipts in the form of bank deposit tickets
- [Attachment E](#): May 2022 e-mail from Julie to Jaime, improper/legally void notice of rent increase
- [Attachment F](#): July 2018 e-mail from Julie to Jaime stating she would be happy for Jaime and Evan to stay for another year
- [Attachment G](#): May/June 2022 e-mail from Jaime to Julie approving rent increase, declining lease
- [Attachment H](#): October 2023 text message from Julie to Jaime giving improper notice of rent increase
- [Attachment I](#): November 2023 text message from Julie to Jaime asking if forms have been signed yet
- [Attachment J](#): November 2023 e-mail from Jaime to Julie mentioning missing required forms
- [Attachment K](#): November 2023 e-mail from Jaime to Jim mentioning missing forms and communication difficulties with Julie
- [Attachment M](#): November 2023 text from Julie to Jaime about meeting to go over forms
- [Attachment N](#): November 2023 short e-mail from Jaime to Julie requesting that forms be signed and dropped off
- [Attachment O](#): May 31, 2024 Notice to Vacate signed by Jim to Jaime and Evan
- [Attachment P](#): November 19, 2023 e-mail from Julie to Jaime, "I do want to get this done"
- [Attachment Q](#): December 5, 2023 text from Julie "I have been very sick," waiting on city info
- [Attachment R](#): December 28, 2023 water shut-off payment receipt, later voided after someone else paid the bill before the check was cashed
- [Attachment S](#): May 2024, second water shut-off bill
- [Attachment T](#): May 2024 texts about water shut-off, "oh goodness"

- [Attachment U](#): May 2024 e-mail from Jim accompanying Notice to Vacate re: "reacquiring the apartment"
- [Attachment V](#): September 2019 e-mails from Jim regarding accommodations during repairs
- [Attachment W](#): 2017 emails regarding November 1 lease versus June 1 or September 1 lease
- [Attachment X](#): unanswered 2021 text from Jaime to Jim about mouse infestation
- [Attachment Y](#): 2012 e-mails to and from Jim about broken windows, never repaired

Attachment A: September 2012, original lease for 44 Dirigo Street

10 - 3

MAINE CONSUMER LAW GUIDE

1. PARTIES TO THIS LEASE
The parties to the lease are:

LANDLORD
Name JAMES LANNON
Address 44 Dirigo St
Portland 04102
Telephone 332-3429

TENANT
Name Jane Devins
Address 44 Dirigo St. #2
Portland, Maine 04102
Telephone 802 318-0927

Name Even Long
Address Same
92
Telephone above

2. MANAGING AGENT
If the landlord employs an agent to manage this residence, the agent is:
Name n/a
Address _____
Telephone _____

3. RESIDENCE LOCATION
This residence is a house 44, apartment 2, mobile home _____ (check one).
It is located at: _____
ME (Zip): 04102
Floor: 2 Apartment number: 2

4. LENGTH OF LEASE
A. Initial Rental Period. The landlord will rent this residence to the tenant for 12 months. This term shall begin on the 1st day of Sept 2012 at noon.

18 -4

- B. *Extended Stay.* If the tenant has not moved out of the residence by 12 noon on the day the lease ends and has not signed with the landlord a new lease, then this lease becomes a continuing "tenancy at will" and the tenant will rent from month-to-month. All terms of this lease will remain in effect, except for terms that are in conflict with a State law regulating a tenancy at will. Either party can stop this month-to-month tenancy by giving to the other party at least 30-days written notice. This notice must expire on or after the date through which rent has been paid. The first month's rent of this Extended Stay lease is due **on the day after** the Initial Rental Period (paragraph A) ends.
- C. *No Extended Stay.* The landlord can refuse to allow the tenant to become a month-to-month tenant at the end of the lease. To do so he must so inform the tenant at least 30 days before the end of the initial Rental Period (paragraph A). The tenant must then leave the residence no later than the last day of the Initial Rental Period.

5. RENT PAYMENTS

- A. *Rental Amount.* The rent for this residence is \$ 950 a month. The tenant shall pay the rent for each month on the 15th day of that month. If there are charges in addition to this rent they are listed below in paragraph C.
- B. *Paying the Rent.* The rent should be paid to: JAMESOR JULIE LANNON. The landlord can assess a penalty of 4% (up to 4%) of the monthly rent once payment is 15 or more days late.
- C. *Additional Charges.* In addition to the monthly rent, the tenant also agrees to pay the landlord the following charges (describe the reason for the charge, the amount, and when it should be paid):

N/A

6. SECURITY DEPOSIT

- A. *Amount of Security Deposit.* The tenant has paid the landlord \$ 950 as a Security Deposit. The Security Deposit is in addition to rental payments and should not be substituted by the tenant for unpaid rent. The landlord will hold the Security Deposit until the end of the residency. The Security Deposit remains the tenant's money. The landlord will keep the Security Deposit separate from the landlord's own money. The landlord will not require a Security Deposit of more than two months rent.
- B. *Return of the Security Deposit.* This Security Deposit may be used by the landlord after the tenancy has ended to repair damage to the residence and for the actual costs of unpaid rent, storing and disposing of unclaimed property, or utility charges the tenant owes to the landlord. The Security Deposit cannot be used to pay for routine cleaning or painting made necessary by normal wear and tear. The landlord will return the entire Security Deposit to the tenant at the end of the lease if the following conditions are met:

- (1) The apartment is in good condition except for (a) normal wear and tear or (b) damage not caused by the tenant, the tenant's family, invitees or guests;
- (2) The tenant does not owe any rent or utility charges which the tenant was required to pay directly to the landlord; and
- (3) The tenant has not caused the landlord expenses for storage and disposing of unclaimed property.

If the landlord deducts money from the tenant's Security Deposit, the landlord will provide the tenant a list of the items for which the tenant is being charged and return to the tenant the balance of the Security Deposit.

The landlord will return the Security Deposit, or the remaining balance, to the tenant no more than thirty (30) days after the tenancy ends.

7. MOVING IN

If the residence is not ready to move into on the day the rental period begins (see Section 4, "LENGTH OF LEASE"), the tenant may cancel the lease and receive a full refund. If the tenant chooses to wait until the residence is ready, then the rental period will begin with the first day the tenant moves in and the first month's rent payments will be proportionately reduced.

8. SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE LANDLORD

Utilities and services shall be paid by the parties as follows (check one):

UTILITIES / SERVICES	LANDLORD	TENANT
Electricity	☐	☐
Heating Oil	☐	☐
Natural Gas	☐	☐
Sewerage	☒	☐
Trash Removal	☐	☐
Yard Maintenance	☐	☐
Snow Removal	☐	☐
Air Conditioning	☐	☐
Hot Water	☐	☐
Cold Water	☒	☐
Telephone	☐	☐
Cable Television	☐	☐

The landlord will also provide the following services:

9. TENANT RESIDENTIAL RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. *Use Only as a Residence.* The tenant agrees that the residence will be used only as a residence, except for incidental use in trade or business (such as telephone solicitation of sales or arts and crafts created for profit). Such incidental uses will be allowed as long as they do not violate local zoning laws or affect the landlord's ability to obtain fire or liability insurance. The total number of persons residing in this residence cannot exceed 2.
- B. *Damage.* The tenant agrees not to damage the apartment, the building, the grounds or the common areas or to interfere with the rights of other tenants to live in their apartments in peace and quiet. Damage (other than normal wear and tear) caused by the tenant, the tenant's family, invitees or guests shall be repaired by the tenant at the tenant's expense. Upon the tenant's failure to make such repairs the landlord, after reasonable written notice to the tenant, may make the repairs and the tenant shall be responsible to the landlord for their reasonable cost.
- C. *Alterations.* No alteration, addition or improvement to the residence shall be made by the tenant without the prior written consent by the landlord.

10. LANDLORD RESIDENTIAL RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. *Legal Use Of The Residence.* The landlord agrees not to interfere with the tenant's legal use of the residence.
- B. *Residence Must Be Fit To Live In.* The landlord promises that the residence: (1) complies with applicable housing codes; (2) is fit to live in; and (3) is not dangerous to the life, health or safety of the occupants. The landlord agrees to make all necessary repairs and take all necessary action to keep the residence fit to live in and to meet all applicable housing code requirements. The landlord is not responsible for this promise if the residence becomes unfit to live in due to the tenant's misconduct or the misconduct of the tenant's family, invitees, or guests. Prior to the tenant entering into this lease, the landlord agrees to provide the tenant with both the federal lead-based paint hazard notice and brochure, unless the housing is specifically exempt from this requirement.
- C. *Tenant's Rights If The Landlord Fails To Provide Services*
- (1) *Unsafe conditions.* If there are conditions in the residence that threaten health or safety, state law allows the tenant to withhold rent and to use it to make minor repairs to the unsafe conditions or to purchase fuel oil during the heating season. Except in an emergency, before withholding rent the tenant must first provide 14 days prior written notice to the landlord and meet other Maine statutory requirements. The tenant cannot withhold more than \$250 or one half of the monthly rent, whichever is the greater. This state law does not apply if the residence is in a building of 5 or less residences, one of which is occupied by the landlord.
 - (2) *Failure to provide utilities.* If the landlord fails to provide electric, gas, water or telephone utilities as agreed to in Section 8 of this lease, State law allows the tenant to pay for these utilities and deduct the amount paid from the rent due.
 - (3) *Unlivable conditions.* If, through no fault of the tenant, the residence is so damaged that it cannot be lived in and because of the damage the tenant moves out, the tenant will not be liable for rent from the day of the damage and may cancel the lease on 3 days notice.

11. LANDLORD ENTRY INTO THE RESIDENCE

Except for emergencies, the landlord may enter the apartment only during reasonable hours and after obtaining the tenant's consent at least 24 hours in advance. The tenant may not unreasonably withhold consent to the landlord to enter the residence.

12. BUILDING RULES

The tenant agrees to obey all building rules describing tenant conduct and responsibilities. A written copy of these rules shall be given to the tenant when the tenant signs this lease. The landlord may make reasonable additions or changes to these rules, upon adequate notice to the tenant.

13. DISTURBING THE PEACE

The tenant agrees not to cause or allow on the premises any excessive nuisance, noise or other activity which disturbs the peace and quiet of neighbors or other tenants in the building or violates any state law or local ordinance. The landlord agrees to prevent other tenants and other persons in the building or common areas from similarly disturbing the tenant's peace and quiet.

14. EVICTION FOR VIOLATIONS OF LEASE

A. *Notice of Violation.* Serious or repeated violations of the terms of this lease can result in termination of the lease and eviction of the tenant. Except for failure to pay rent (see Paragraph B) or dangerous actions by a tenant (see paragraph C), if the tenant does not live up to the terms of this lease the following will occur:

- (1) The landlord will deliver to the tenant a written notice describing the violation and demanding that the tenant cease the lease violation within 10 days of delivery of the notice.
- (2) If the tenant does not comply within that 10-day period, the landlord will deliver to the tenant a second written notice that the lease will end within 30 days. On that day, the lease term automatically terminates and the tenant will leave the residence and return the keys to the landlord.

B. *Eviction for Failure to Pay Rent.* If the tenant is 14 days or more late in paying the rent the landlord may send a notice that states that the lease will end in 7 days, unless the tenant pays all overdue rent or late charges before that 7 day period ends. If the tenant fails to pay the rent, the lease term automatically terminates and the tenant will leave the residence and return the keys to the landlord.

C. *Eviction For Dangerous Acts.* If the tenant's actions pose an immediate threat to the health or safety of other residents or the landlord or the landlord's employees, or to the physical structure of the residence, then the lease can be immediately terminated, without prior warning.

D. *Notice of Termination.* The landlord must notify the tenant in writing when the lease is terminated. This notice must:

- (1) State the reasons for termination with enough specificity to allow the tenant to prepare a defense;
- (2) Advise the tenant that if a judicial proceeding for eviction is commenced, the tenant has the right to present a defense in that proceeding; and
- (3) Be served on the tenant by sending a prepaid first class properly addressed letter (return receipt requested) to the tenant at the residence or by delivering a copy of the notice to the residence.

- E. *Forcible Eviction.* The landlord will not physically force the tenant out by removing the tenant's possessions or by changing the lock on the tenant's door or by any other method. The tenant can be forcibly removed from the residence only by a law enforcement officer after a Maine Court has ordered eviction. The tenant will be given prior notice of the court eviction hearing and will have a chance to testify. Only after this hearing can the court order the tenant's forcible eviction.

15. NOTIFYING THE LANDLORD OR TENANT

- A. *Notices to the tenant.* Unless otherwise required in this lease or by law, any notice from the landlord to the tenant will be valid only if:
- (1) it is in writing; and
 - (2) it is addressed to the tenant at the residence and personally delivered to the tenant's residence or sent by mail. The effective date of a notice will be the day it is personally delivered to the residence or, if it is mailed, two days after the date it is postmarked.
- B. *Notices to the landlord.* Unless otherwise required in this lease or by law, the tenant will give all required notices to the landlord in writing, delivered personally or sent by mail to the landlord or, if appropriate, to the landlord's managing agent at the address given in this lease. The effective date of a notice will be the day it is personally delivered to the residence or, if it is mailed, two days after the date it is postmarked.

16. ABANDONED PROPERTY

The landlord shall dispose of all abandoned property in compliance with the provisions of the Maine abandoned property statutes.

17. SUBLEASING

The tenant agrees not to sublease or assign this residence without the prior written consent of the landlord. Consent will not be withheld except for good reason.

18. OCCUPANTS

The residents listed below shall be the sole occupants of the leased premises:

JAIME DEVINS
EVAN LONG

19. PETS

The tenant may _____ may not ☒ (check one) maintain pets in the residence. If the tenant is allowed to have pets, only the following pets may live in the residence:

20. CONDITION OF RESIDENCE AT THE TIME LEASE IS SIGNED

Prior to signing this lease the landlord and the tenant did ☒ did not _____ (check one) inspect together the residence. If they did inspect the residence, their findings were as follows:

MAINE CONSUMER LAW GUIDE

A. *Residence defects.* The following substantial defects were observed:

B. *Landlord work or repairs.* The following work or repairs to be done by the landlord were agreed upon:

C. *Tenant work or repairs.* The following work or repairs to be done by the tenant were agreed upon (indicate whether tenant or landlord is responsible for the expense):

D. *Conditions that will remain unchanged.* The following residential conditions were agreed would remain unchanged:

21. WHEN THE LEASE ENDS

When the lease ends, the tenant agrees to return the residence in the same condition as it was at the start of the lease, except for normal wear and tear and except for those inspection items which were noted in Section 20 of this lease and not repaired. The tenant will have to pay for damage to the residence only if the damage was caused by the tenant or the tenant's family, invitees or guests. The tenant must return the keys to the residence or else the tenant can be considered a "hold-over" tenant and still obligated to pay monthly rent.

22. OTHER AGREEMENTS

The landlord and the tenant also agree to the following:

23. CONFLICT WITH STATE LAW


If any provision of this lease conflicts with state law, then state law shall take precedence.

24. SIGNATURES

The tenant and landlord have each received identical copies of the lease, each copy signed and dated by both landlord and tenant.

<u>8/20/12</u>	<u>Embay</u>
(date)	(tenant)
<u>8/20/12</u>	<u>Timie R. Der</u>
(date)	(tenant)
<u>8/20/12</u>	<u>Shum</u>
(date)	(landlord)

Attachment B: October 2023, incomplete/legally void signed rent increase form, partially whited-out and unsigned versions of the Tenant Rights Form, and other forms from Julie



City of Portland, Maine Rental Housing Rights

Tenant/Landlord Rights and Responsibilities

The relationships between tenants and landlords in Portland are governed, in part, by the City of Portland Code of Ordinances and the State of Maine statutes, and cover a wide variety of topics. There are various resources for additional information, including [Pete's Legal](#) and the State of Maine's publication "Consumer Rights When You Rent an Apartment", and others. It is recommended that concerned individuals obtain professional legal advice, as necessary.

Rent Control

Landlords of rental units in Portland, that are not otherwise exempt, may only increase the rent once within a rental year. Before increasing rent, a landlord must give tenants written, signed notice at least 75 days in advance. That notice must include the date the tenancy began, the date of the rent increase, and the reasons for the rent increase. Rent may only be increased for certain reasons and by certain amounts, such as the increase in the Consumer Price Index, certain increases in taxes, where there is a new tenant, or if a landlord requests special permission from the Rent Board. A landlord may never raise the rent more than 10% in one year.

Tenants at Will

When a tenant rents a housing unit without a written lease, the tenant is a "tenant at will". Unless the unit is exempt, for tenants at will, landlords must typically provide at least 90 days written notice to tenants before they must move out. If a landlord provides \$300.00 reimbursement to the tenant, tenants-at-will may be determined by notice at least 60 days before they must move out. If \$1,000.00 in reimbursement is provided, tenants-at-will may be determined by notice at least 30 days before they must move out. Landlords do not have to provide a reason for asking the tenant to leave.

A landlord may evict a tenant at will with a minimum 7-day written notice if the tenant: (1) has caused serious damage to the apartment and has not repaired the damage; (2) has been a nuisance to other tenants or neighbors; (3) has made the unit unsafe or unfit to live in; (4) has changed the door locks and refused to give the landlord a key; and (5) is 7 days or more behind in rent.

Prohibited Discrimination

Housing discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, sexual orientation, physical or mental disability, ancestry, national origin, or family status is prohibited by the City of Portland and the State of Maine. Landlords may not refuse to rent or impose terms of tenancy on any tenant who is a recipient of federal, state or local public assistance, including medical assistance and housing subsidies. Unless a unit is otherwise exempt, landlords must also comply with reasonable requirements of any subsidy program, including filling out paperwork, allowing inspections, and making reasonable repairs.

Concerns, Complaints, or Questions

If you have questions about the City's ordinances on rent control and tenant protections, think your landlord has violated the City's ordinances, or want to file an appeal with the Rent Board, please contact the Housing Safety Office at housing.safety@portland.maine.gov or 207-756-8131.
Revised March 2021

Required Forms & Informational Material

Landlords must provide the following informational material and forms to tenants:

● The City of Portland Rental Housing Rights Document opposite page

● Energy Efficiency Disclosure N/A None to disclose

● Lead Paint Pamphlet - "Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home"
<https://www.epa.gov/lead/protect-your-family-lead-paint-form>

● Lead Based Paint Disclosure Form
N/A to Disclose

● Smoking Policy Disclosure (To be drafted by the landlord)

● Radon in Rental Housing Pamphlet
<https://www.maine.gov/dhs/ehs/radon/rental-housing-radon-disclosure-document/2019sheet11.pdf>

● Radon Disclosure
N/A to Disclose

By signing below, landlords and tenants acknowledge that they have read and understand the information contained within this document and landlord has provided tenants with copies of the informational material and forms noted above.

Landlord <u>Julia Contreras-Lannon</u>	Date
Tenant	Date
Tenant	Date
Tenant	Date

SAMPLE SMOKING POLICY DISCLOSURE FORM

The Maine Smoking Policy Awareness Law (Public Law 2011, chapter 199) requires that landlords disclose the "... policy regarding smoking on the premises..."

"Smoking" is defined as carrying or having in one's possession a lighted cigarette, cigar, pipe or other object giving off tobacco smoke.

This form provides written disclosure of the smoking policy at (address): _____

The smoking policy for this property is:

☒ Smoking is not allowed on the entire premises:

☐ Smoking is not allowed in:

☐ Inside all units

☐ Inside all common areas, such as hallways or laundry rooms¹

☐ Outside within _____ feet of the building

☐ Outside on porches, patios, and yards adjacent to the units

☐ Other: _____

☐ Smoking is allowed in designated outdoor smoking area, located: _____

☐ Smoking is permitted on the entire premises, excluding indoor common areas²

Acknowledgements:

I have read and understanding the smoking policy described above and agree to comply with the smoking policy at (address): _____

Tenant Printed Name: _____

Tenant Signature: _____

Date: _____

Landlord/Owner's Representative Printed Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

¹ Maine law prohibits smoking in indoor common areas, such as hallways, laundry rooms and recreation rooms

² Maine law prohibits smoking in indoor common areas, such as hallways, laundry rooms and recreation rooms

Radon in Rental Housing A Serious Hidden Danger to Family Health

Radon TipSheet #11

September 2011



Renting a home with high radon levels is a major risk for lung cancer.

Radon is the 2nd leading cause of lung cancer. Any home, including rental housing, can have a radon problem. It doesn't matter if it's old or new, or where it's located. High levels of radon gas occur naturally in Maine soil and water, and can move up into a house from the ground. The house then traps the radon in the air inside.

The only way to know if a home has a problem is to test. Landlords in Maine are required to test their rental properties for radon by March 1, 2014. This tipsheet can help you understand how radon testing in rental housing works.

About Radon Testing in Rental Housing

Maine requires landlords to test all residential rental properties by March 1, 2014, and re-test every 10 years.

Simple air tests can show whether radon levels are safe. Only registered radon testers can conduct a radon test. They will put radon test devices in the building, usually in the basement or in ground floor units. Sometimes they will test units on other floors.

What Tenants Need to Do

- Do not touch, move, cover or otherwise interfere with the testing devices.
- For 12 hours before, and all during the test, keep windows and doors closed, except for normal entry and exit.
- **IMPORTANT:** If the test is not done the right way it must be done again. **costing time and money for the landlord and tenant.**
- Your landlord must show you the results for your residence. If your residence was not tested, you will get the results from the basement or the highest result found in your building.

About High Radon Levels

A radon concentration of 4 pCi/l (picocuries per liter) or above is a high radon level. If there is a high radon level in your building, your landlord must get the problem fixed within six months.

Only registered radon reduction contractors, called radon mitigators, can fix high radon levels in rental housing. Radon mitigators can install the proper system to make sure that your home and all the others in your building, have safe radon levels.

Radon treatment systems work well to bring the level down to safer levels, no matter how high the levels are to start.

Follow-Up Testing

Once your building has a radon mitigation system, there will be another radon test and there may be periodic re-tests to make sure the system is working. In addition, landlords must conduct a re-test of the building every 10 years.

Protect your family. Learn. Test. Treat if needed.

- Check this website: www.MaineRadonControl.org
- For advice: **1-800-232-0842** radon.dhhs@maine.gov • TTY: 800-606-0215






Gire el folleto
para la traducción
en Español.

EPA's

**Protect Your
Family From
Lead In
Your Home
and**

Keep It Clean:
An Insider's Guide To
Lead-Safe Painting
And Home
Improvement



Attachment C: May 2024 screenshots of LTR registration of 44 Dirigo Street on City website showing \$1450.00 registered as base rent

Filter Results

All 3

Permit 1

Code Case 1

License 1

Next | Top | Paging Options | Filter Options | Main Menu

License Number 20163417

Applied Date 05/02/2024

Period Start Date

License Status In Review

Address 44 DIRIGO ST Portland, ME

Company Type Sole Proprietorship

Business Status Active

DBA Julie Lannon

Closed Date

Company Name

License Type Long Term Housing Registration - Two Family

Expiration Date 01/01/2999

Industry Classification

Main Parcel 188 A009001

Opened Date 02/17/2016

Last Audit Date

Previous | Next | Top | Paging Options | Filter Options | Main Menu

Code Case Number LTR1800746

Type Long Term Residential

Opened Date 08/20/2018

Address 44 DIRIGO ST Portland, ME

Main Parcel 188 A009001

Status 2nd Request to Inspect

Project Name

Closed Date

Previous | Top | Paging Options | Filter Options | Main Menu

Permit Number HIP2022-01350

Type Solid Waste - Bulky Item Pickup

Project Name

Status Complete

Main Parcel 188 A009001

Address 44 DIRIGO ST Portland, Maine 04102

Applied Date 09/11/2022

Issued Date 09/13/2022

Expiration Date

Finalized Date 09/13/2022

Results per page 10 1 - 3 of 3 << < 1 > >>

Julie Lannon

[License Details](#) | [Tab Elements](#) | [Main Menu](#)

License Details			
License Type:	Long Term Housing Registration - Two Family	District:	N/A
Account Number:		Applied Date:	05/02/2024
Status:	In Review	Period Start Date:	
Description:		Expiration Date:	01/01/2999

[Business](#) [Locations](#) [Fees](#) [Attachments](#) [Contacts](#) [More Info](#)

[More Info](#) | [First Tab](#) | [License Details](#) | [Main Menu](#)

More Info

Details

Top | Main Menu

Number of Rental Units 1

BL Supplemental Information

Unit Number	Base Rent	Previous Rent	a) Allowable Increas...	b) 5% New Tenancy ...	c) Banl
Need 2024 Forms	\$1,450.00	\$1,400.00			


*Notes Previous Rent = Amount of rent as of January 2021 Base Rent = Amount of rent as of June 2020 Current Rent = Amount of rent as of November 2021 Banked Rent = Any increase in rent to which the Landlord was entitled under Sections 6-233 and 6-234, but that was not charged to a Tenant during a particular Rental Year

Assessor's Units 2


UPCS Units 0


Attachment D: 2020-2024 rent receipts in the form of bank deposit tickets











Attachment E: May 2022 e-mail from Julie to Jaime, improper/legally void notice of rent increase

From: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2022 10:18 AM
To: Jaime.devins@hotmail.com <Jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Subject: 44 Dirigo

Hello !

How are you? I wanted to check in and see how you are doing. I also wanted to let you know , due to basically everything going up , we will be increasing your rent in August by \$100. You currently do not have a lease. I am more than happy to draw one up. Let me know your thoughts on this.

Feel free to reach out with any questions and or concerns . We are happy to have you as tenants!

Thank you ,
Julie Contreras - Lannon

Attachment F: July 2018 e-mail from Julie to Jaime stating she would be happy for Jaime and Evan to stay for another year

Checking in

44dirigost@gmail.com <44dirigost@gmail.com>

Sat 7/7/2018 8:54 AM

To:jaime.devins@hotmail.com <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Hi Jaime ,

I hope you are enjoying the long anticipated summer! I did see that Jet Video was closing. Will you guys be staying at Dirigo? It's a great time to do a lease if you guys are interested in another for a year ? No rent increase. I would do August - August. Let me know when you have a chance.

It isn't a big deal to me. Please let me know as soon as you can if you are not staying. I may be down there today or tomorrow. Trying to teach my 14 year old lawn work. I am failing miserable!

Enjoy the weather and again thank you ,

Julie Lannon

Attachment G: May/June 2022 e-mail from Jaime to Julie approving rent increase, declining lease

Re: 44 Dirigo

Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Wed 6/1/2022 11:13 AM

To: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Hi Julie,

Thanks. We are good with the current situation, but we will keep it in mind and let you know.

Jaime and Evan

From: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 10:22 PM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: 44 Dirigo

Awesome are you good with no lease or would you like one?

Let me know !

Thanks !


Sent from my iPhone


On May 26, 2022, at 1:51 PM, Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com> wrote:


Hi Julie,


OK to August 1st. We're doing well, hope you are also.


Jaime and Evan



























































Attachment J: November 2023 e-mail from Jaime to Julie mentioning missing required forms

Re: 44 Dirigo

Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Tue 11/14/2023 11:58 AM

To: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Hi Julie,

On the form for us to sign (Rental Housing Rights), it says that signing indicates receipt of the forms and materials listed, but there were some forms missing:

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2022

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2024

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Energy Efficiency Disclosure form

<https://www11.maine.gov/mpuc/sites/maine.gov/mpuc/files/inline-files/RentalEffDisclosureForm.pdf>

-Lead-based Paint Disclosure form

<https://www.mainehousing.org/docs/default-source/homeless/homeless-initiatives/step/step-landlord-packet/lead-based-paint-disclosure-statement.pdf>

-Radon Disclosure form

<https://www.maine.gov/dhhs/mecdc/environmental-health/rad/documents/forms/HHE-810%20Radon%20Disclosure%20Form.pdf>

-Current revision of Rental Housing Rights document

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/a9616b64-2442-4645-b4e3-ffaf645b06ac>

From: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 10:22 PM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Attachment K: November 2023 e-mail from Jaime to Jim mentioning missing forms and communication difficulties with Julie

Re: 44 Dirigo

Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Wed 11/15/2023 7:19 PM

To:james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

Hi Jim,

Thank you. The texts we sent you earlier today are below. Also, the new dishwasher is working great.

-Hi Jim, we're having a communication issue with Julie about some paperwork and were wondering if you could help.

She wants us to sign Portland's rental housing rights form, which we are happy to do, but it says that signing indicates that we have received certain forms which are listed on the sheet. We have received some of them but not all of them. When we pointed this out to her, it started getting escalated, and we don't want that.

Specifically, there is a section that says "forms required by the state of Maine", and we didn't receive the radon disclosure, lead-based paint disclosure, and the energy efficiency disclosure. She is telling us that they are optional, but it doesn't look like they are optional. They are not forms we can just print out ourselves as there are sections that need to be filled out, signed and dated by the landlord. Otherwise, we would just print them ourselves and be done with it.

We are in no way trying to hold up this process, but we can't sign the sheet saying that we received forms that we haven't received.

We sent her the links to the forms we were talking about in an email yesterday, and she responded with a string of angry texts.

Separately from that topic, she informed us recently that she wanted to raise the rent for next year. That is fine with us. We want to keep renting the apartment on our existing terms, even with a rent increase. However, we had a problem when we asked her to use Portland's new rent increase notice form, which requires landlords to list some additional information that they didn't have to list prior to January 2021. She does not seem to want to do that. We had requested that she fill out the new required form both for the 2022 rent increase as we did not receive the form at that time either, and for the upcoming one as well.

I forwarded you an email just now at the Lannon4@hotmail.com address with links to the forms I mentioned above. Hoping we can clear up this paperwork and continue our existing rental relationship.-

6/7/

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjYAL>

On Nov 15, 2023, at 6:22 PM, james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com> wrote:

On Nov 15, 2023, at 6:22 PM, James Lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jaime,

Apologies, but I only have access to text while in port. I won't be back in Port again until I arrive in Western Australia on 24 November.

If you sent me a text, could you simply copy and paste what you sent and I can assist via email?

Many Thanks,
Jim

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Date: 11/16/23 05:15 (GMT+10:00)
To: lannon4@hotmail.com
Subject: Fw: 44 Dirigo

Hi Jim,

Below are links to the forms we were talking about over text.

Jaime

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 12:53 PM

6/7/24,

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjYALTk>

To: juliecontr@hotmail.com <juliecontr@hotmail.com>
Subject: Fw: 44 Dirigo

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 12:53 PM

6/7/24,

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjYALTk>

To: juliecontr@hotmail.com <juliecontr@hotmail.com>

Subject: Fw: 44 Dirigo

Hi Julie,

On the form for us to sign (Rental Housing Rights), it says that signing indicates receipt of the forms and materials listed, but there were some forms missing:

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2022

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2024

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Energy Efficiency Disclosure form

<https://www11.maine.gov/mpuc/sites/maine.gov/mpuc/files/inline-files/RentalEffDisclosureForm.pdf>

-Lead-based Paint Disclosure form

<https://www.mainehousing.org/docs/default-source/homeless/homeless-initiatives/step/step-landlord-packet/lead-based-paint-disclosure-statement.pdf>

-Radon Disclosure form

<https://www.maine.gov/dhhs/mecdc/environmental-health/rad/documents/forms/HHE-810%20Radon%20Disclosure%20Form.pdf>

-Current revision of Rental Housing Rights document

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/a9616b64-2442-4645-b4e3-ffaf645b06ac>


From: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 10:22 PM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: 44 Dirigo

Awesome are you good with no lease or would you like one?



Attachment N: November 2023 short e-mail from Jaime to Julie requesting that forms be signed and dropped off

Re: 44 Dirigo

Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Sun 11/19/2023 10:36 AM

To:juliecontr@hotmail.com <juliecontr@hotmail.com>

Hi Julie, would it be possible for you to drop off the completed forms and any we need to sign and return inside the front entryway, and we could sign and send the Rental Housing Rights and any other forms back to you Monday or Tuesday? And would it be possible for you, on the forms you had nothing to disclose on, to write that and sign and date them with the others? We will get you our signed and dated copy back ASAP.

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 11:58 AM

To: Julie Contreras-Lannon <jeclannon@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: 44 Dirigo

Hi Julie,

On the form for us to sign (Rental Housing Rights), it says that signing indicates receipt of the forms and materials listed, but there were some forms missing:

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2022

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Notice of Rent Increase for 2024

<https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/589f017c-4b79-49df-adfb-c53f6ba01d12>

-Energy Efficiency Disclosure form

<https://www11.maine.gov/mpuc/sites/maine.gov/mpuc/files/inline-files/RentalEffDisclosureForm.pdf>

-Lead-based Paint Disclosure form

<https://www.mainehousing.org/docs/default-source/homeless/homeless-initiatives/step/step-landlord-packet/lead-based-paint-disclosure-statement.pdf>

Attachment O: May 31, 2024 Notice to Vacate signed by Jim to Jaime and Evan

Maine 90 Day Notice to Vacate

Date: 1 June 2024

Re: Notice to Terminate Tenancy

Dear Jaime Devins and Evan Long

This letter serves as official notice that your tenancy will terminate on 1 September, 2024, for the premises located at : 44 Dirigo St., Apt #2 Portland, Maine

The Tenant has the right to contest the termination of tenancy in court.

For our records and the return of security deposit monies, please provide your forwarding address and phone number.

Sincerely,

(Landlord Signature)

James Lannon
(Landlord Printed Name)

(207)321-8577, (207)332-5382
(Landlord Phone Number)

172 Bradley St Portland Maine, 04102
(Landlord Address)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this notice has been furnished to the above-named tenant(s) on
28 May 2024 by:

☒ Hand delivery to Tenant Certified Letter; or

☐ Posting the notice at the premises, such as the entry door, if the tenant cannot be found and mailing the notice by first class mail with a certificate of mailing.

James Lannon
(Landlord Name)

(Landlord Signature)

Lannon
172 Bradley St
Portland, ME
04102

Jaime Devins: Evan Long
44 Dirigo Apt. 2
Portland, ME 04102

04102-224044

7019 1640 0002 0652 9927

04102

Retail

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
PORTLAND, ME 04101
MAY 28, 2024

\$5.08

RDC 99

S2324E501053-62

Attachment P: November 19, 2023 e-mail from Julie to Jaime, "I do want to get this done"

Re: 44 Dirigo

Julie Contreras-Lannon <juliecontr@hotmail.com>

Sun 11/19/2023 10:52 AM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Hi Jamie ,

Sorry for the delayed response. I am waiting on a couple things from the city. Not sure what the timing will be.

Reasonable , with the holiday, I can get you all you need by the Tuesday the 28th. Then we can coordinate how forms need to be signed. My schedule it tight for the next few weeks. I do want to get this done.

Please feel free to use this email , at the moment it is my best one. However when you do send an email it is very helpful for you to send me a text. With any needs, my first preferred way to communicate is text.


Thank you,

Julie Contreras Lannon

On Nov 19, 2023, at 10:36 AM, Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Julie, would it be possible for you to drop off the completed forms and any we need to sign and return inside the front entryway, and we could sign and send the Rental Housing Rights and any other forms back to you Monday or Tuesday? And would it be possible for you, on the forms you had nothing to disclose on, to write that and sign and date them with the others? We will get you our signed and dated copy back ASAP.

Attachment Q: December 5, 2023 text from Julie "I have been very sick," waiting on city info



Attachment R: December 28, 2023 water shut-off payment receipt, later voided after someone else paid the bill before the check was cashed

44 Dir 40 St
3000-162

NOTICE OF PREMISE VISIT

Account No. 3000-162

☒ Service IS NOT shut off
☒ Service IS shut off

We called today to:

☐ install meter ☐ read meter
☐ remove meter ☐ check for leaks
☐ change meter ☐ get new customer name
☐ service meter ☐ collect overdue water bill
☐ other _____

OVERDUE AMOUNT: \$ 200.00

Please contact our Customer Service Department to arrange a time when our service technician can return. If service is shut off, arrangements must be made to complete the above work. If shut off for nonpayment, the overdue amount shown above must be paid or a payment arrangement negotiated.

PLEASE SEE THE REVERSE SIDE FOR OTHER OPTIONS

Service Technician Initials EB Date 12/18/23

782.39

Portland Water District
225 DOUGLASS STREET, PO BOX 3553
PORTLAND, MAINE 04104-3553
(207) 774-5961 • FAX (207) 679-5827

100044 Rev. 01/23

Portland Water District
225 Douglas Street • P.O. Box 3553 • Portland, ME 04104-3553
(207) 774-5961 • FAX (207) 679-5827

FIELD COLLECTION RECEIPT
NO. **55026**

CUSTOMER NAME Lapson DATE 12/18/23

RECEIVED FROM (if different) Jaime Devine Pd. Clk.

SERVICE LOCATION 44 Dir 40 St

ACCOUNT NUMBER 3000-162

WATER AMOUNT _____

SEWER AMOUNT (if applicable) _____

TOTAL RECEIVED _____

PAYMENT METHOD: ☐ CASH ☐ CHECK ☐ OTHER 200.00

RECEIVED BY EB

Attachment S: May 2024, second water shut-off bill

Lannon
44 Dirigo St

VM F/H
-5382

NOTICE OF PREMISE VISIT

Account No. 30006762

☐ Service **IS NOT** shut off
☒ Service **IS** shut off

We called today to:

☐ install meter	☐ read meter
☐ remove meter	☐ check for leaks
☐ change meter	☐ get new customer name
☐ service meter	☒ collect overdue water bill
☐ other _____	

OVERDUE AMOUNT: \$ 113.⁰⁰

Please contact our Customer Service Department to arrange a time when our service technician can return. If service is shut off, arrangements must be made to complete the above work. If shut off for nonpayment, the overdue amount shown above must be paid or a payment arrangement negotiated.

PLEASE SEE THE REVERSE SIDE FOR OTHER OPTIONS

Service Technician Initials EB Date 5/22/24

684.98

Portland Water District
225 DOUGLASS STREET, PO BOX 3553
PORTLAND, MAINE 04104-3553
(207)761-8310

100044 Rev. 01/23

Portland Water District Invoice# UB1749510-05102024 Payment Confirmation

Portland Water District <no-reply@invoicecloud.net>

Wed 5/22/2024 9:51 AM

To:jaimedevins@hotmail.com <jaimedevins@hotmail.com>



Dear JAMES J LANNON JR

Payment Confirmation

Thank you for your payment to Portland Water District.

Your payment has been successfully processed and your account has been updated.

You will continue to receive an email each time a bill is ready for your review. This is an easy way to access, review and pay your bills.

If you haven't already gone paperless, please consider helping the environment, reducing your clutter and supporting our green strategy by opting to move to paperless billing in your next billing cycle. You will always have the option to print your bill directly from your computer if you need it for your records.

To go paperless, log on to the online portal directly at <https://css.pwd.org> and select the Paperless Billing Option under the Account link in the main menu. Then check the Yes, I want to go Paperless box and press update.

Account Number:

30006762

Invoice Number:

UB1749510-05102024

Payment Amount:

\$113.00

Service Fee:

\$3.45

Total Amount:

f 2

efox

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDAB1>

If you have any questions regarding your account, please email us at customerservice@pwd.org and include your account number, first name and last name on the account.


Thank you for being a valued Portland Water District customer.


Please Note: To ensure delivery of account related email notifications, please add no-reply@invoicecloud.net to your safe senders list


\$116.45


Message:

Approved 813635









Attachment U: May 2024 e-mail from Jim accompanying Notice to Vacate re: "reacquiring the apartment"

44 Dirigo

james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

Tue 5/28/2024 5:19 PM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Hi Jaime and Evan,

Hope you are both well.

I wanted to let you know that I would like to reacquire the space at 44 Dirigo St on September 1st. The reasons behind this are about the building and capital repairs I need to make as well as the uncertainty of my own future living arrangements.

It is important to know that this has nothing to do with you guys as tenants. And you will get nothing short of an exemplary recommendation if and when needed.

I wanted to send you this notice in an email, because I will also have to send a certified letter which may come across as somewhat cold and unfeeling.

Best Regards,

Jim

Attachment V: September 2019 e-mails from Jim regarding accommodations during repairs

Re: Plumbing work

Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Mon 9/16/2019 6:03 PM

To: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

That's sounds great Jim, thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 16, 2019, at 3:43 PM, james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jaime,

I have reserved a room at the clarion for tomorrow I'll go there and pick up the keys as well as give them a credit card so that you and Evan can charge dinner to the room.

I'll bring the keys over to you at 2 pm and I'd like to get started on the plumbing repair at that time. You're welcome to be in the apartment but the toilet will be temporarily out of order.

Thanks,
Jim

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Date: 9/15/19 20:44 (GMT-05:00)

To: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Ok, thanks.

x

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmN>

From: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2019 7:17 PM

To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Hi Jaime,

Thanks for the response. I'll move ahead and secure a room for tues night.

Hi Jaime,

Thanks for the response. I'll move ahead and secure a room for tues night.

Thanks again,

Jim

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Date: 9/13/19 09:17 (GMT-05:00)

To: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Good morning,

I checked and those days should work just fine, Tuesday and Wednesday.

Thanks!

Jaime

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 12, 2019, at 10:38 PM, james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jaime,

6/

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjYh>

You're more than welcome to be in the apt while I do the work, I'm just trying to arrange it so that it's the least impact to your day to day work.

If you had your pick, what afternoon, and evening would it be best for you that I was there working?

Thanks

Jim

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>

Date: 9/12/19 13:38 (GMT-05:00)

To: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Date: 9/12/19 13:38 (GMT-05:00)
To: James Iannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Hi Jim,

What times would they need to come in and do the work on both Tuesday and Wednesday? I just work from home part of the week. I would be working Tuesday evening, and also Wednesday afternoon, needing to use my office there and the internet connection.

Thanks!

Jaime

From: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2019 7:55 AM
To: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Hi Jaime,

I can shoot for next Tuesday/Wednesday. Would that work for you guys?

6/

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjY/>

Thanks,

Jim

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Date: 9/10/19 23:30 (GMT-05:00)
To: James Iannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Hi Jim,

So sorry this went to my junk folder and completely missed it. Were there other times that you would have the plumber come?

Thanks,

Jaime

Sent from my iPhone

----- Original message -----

From: Jaime Devins <jaime.devins@hotmail.com>
Date: 9/10/19 23:30 (GMT-05:00)
To: james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Plumbing work

Hi Jim,

So sorry this went to my junk folder and completely missed it. Were there other times that you would to have the plumber come?

Thanks,
Jaime

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 5, 2019, at 2:47 PM, james lannon <lannon4@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jaime,

I'm planning on having a plumber in to do the work on Tuesday morning or Wednesday morning depending on his availability (September 9 or 10). I'd like to send you an Evan to the Clarion on one of those evenings. I still have to reach out to the plumber to confirm availability, but are either of those 2 nights ok with you?

You're welcome to be in the apartment as much as you'd like while the work is happening. I just want to make sure you have access to facilities that evening.

6

<https://outlook.live.com/mail/0/id/AQMkADAwATY0MDABLWFmNjY>

Thanks!
Jim

Attachment W: 2017 emails regarding November 1 lease versus June 1 or September 1 lease

Rent Increase November 1

Julie Contreras-Lannon <julieclannon@icloud.com>

Thu 9/14/2017 1:15 PM

To:jaimedevins@hotmail.com <jaimedevins@hotmail.com>

Hi Jaime,

It's Julie Lannon, your landlord. I hope this email finds you well. I wanted to let you know we will be increasing the rent for Dirigo ST Apt. 2 by \$100.00 a month, for a total rent of \$1050.00 starting November 1, 2017. We have put off doing this for awhile, but unfortunately needed to be done.

You currently do not have a lease and are month to month tenants. Feel free to let me know if you would like one.

Jim will be away till February so please feel free to use this email or text me at [207-332-5382](tel:207-332-5382).

Thank you,

Julie Lannon

Lease

Jaime Devins <jaimedevins@hotmail.com>

Sun 11/12/2017 6:41 PM

To:Julie Contreras-Lannon <julieclannon@icloud.com>

Hi Julie,

Sorry it's taken us so long to get back to you. We would be interested in a lease for November 1st to October 31st for this apartment, if that is okay.

Thank you,

Jaime and Evan

Re: PWD Disconnection Notice

Julie Contreras-Lannon <julieclannon@icloud.com>

Tue 11/14/2017 10:50 PM

To:Jaime Devins <jaimedevins@hotmail.com>

Thanks!! Paid it today !!

I got your email about a lease, great!


I just would prefer to adjust the dates. Would you be willing to do one ending May 31st or August 31st 2018.


Let me know what you think !

Thank you and sorry for any inconvenience!

Julie Lannon

-Attachment X: unanswered 2021 text from Jaime to Jim about mouse infestation





-Attachment Y: 2012 e-mails to and from Jim about broken windows, never repaired

Apartment

Jaime Devins <jdlandscape@hotmail.com>

Wed 9/19/2012 8:15 PM

To:jim2mate@yahoo.com <jim2mate@yahoo.com>

Hi Jim,

Now that we've had a chance to settle in, I just wanted to let you know that we've found a few things in the apartment that need fixing.

One is that there are a bunch of windows that are cracked or broken or don't close properly, and there's also lots of peeling paint and paint dust on some of the windowsills. The windows that are cracked on the first floor are the southwest window in the front room, one of the smaller ones in the middle room, the far and middle windows and their storm windows in the kitchen, the back porch door, the side room next to the bathroom, and a storm window in the upstairs front room. The ones that don't have locks or don't quite close properly are the three kitchen windows. All of these have a lot of peeling paint and paint dust except for the newer ones in the front room and the side room.

One electrical issue is that the fixture in the back part of the hall, near the bathroom, may be a fire hazard. We've tried a couple of different bulbs in it, but every time we've turned it on, the bulb flickers, and it makes a crackling sound. We're also concerned about the radiator in the front room upstairs, as the wall and window directly above it is black as if the radiator had burned it.

the wall and window directly above it is black as if the radiator had burned it.

Some of the smaller things are that the washing machine leaks water from underneath itself onto the floor when we run it, the dishwasher sometimes does too, and the bathroom sink doesn't drain well at all – it's clogged with blond hair. If we could take a few bucks off of next month's rent to pay for some Drain-o, we'd appreciate it.

On the subject of rent, it would be much easier for us to mail the rent payment than to go all the way to the bank to deposit it. We noticed that you also put your ex-wife's name on the lease as a landlord; could we send it to her? Having a physical address to send apartment-related questions to in the event that we needed to send you something in writing would be important for us also.

Jaime and Evan

Ship Email Address

Lannon James <james.lannon@chevronshipping.com>

Tue 9/25/2012 5:09 PM

To:jdlandscape@hotmail.com <jdlandscape@hotmail.com>

Hi Jaime,

This is the email address if you need to reach me while I'm on my ship.

I wanted to let you know I recieved your email that you sent on 9/19/12.

Some of the deficiencies you mentioned in your email I was aware of and will correct when I return. For example the windows and paint.

As the cold weather approaches make sure the windows on the second floor are locked. I'm referring to the newer ones. I know that a

As the cold weather approaches make sure the windows on the second floor are locked. I'm referring to the newer ones. I know that a couple of them are cracked for some reason (they're only 2 years old). The cracks I will fix as time and of course money allows. Locking them will make a big difference in their ability to be efficient. The cracks aren't going to permit the passage of cold air.

The windows on the 3rd floor are in need of replacement. In the wintertime when I lived there, I always made sure to close the door leading to the 3rd floor. It keeps the heat confined to the second floor better that way. If were on the 3rd floor, I used the floor radiators only while I was up there. They would warm up the space quickly. Unfortunately the marks you are referring to on the wall are marks left by a previous tenent leaving that radiator on high and then leaving for the weekend. The warm continuous hot air will leave those stains, which I can fix, but I don't believe this to be a fire hazard. I'm honestly not aware of there being marks on the window (?)

I will rectify the issue of the downstairs light in the hallway as well as the dishwasher and washing machine when I return if thats acceptable. I know I sound like a broken record, but although I was staying on the 3rd floor, I wasn't there all that much and didn't spend much time on the second floor.

I have had the issue with the sink clogging in the past. The best way I found to rectify that is by using boiling water. I would boil about 3 to 4 large pots of water, add salt, and once at a boil dump them down the drain. I found that it works just as well if not better than draino and its better for both the pipes and the environment. If that fails, I can snake then out when I return.

If you'd rather mail the check than go to the bank thats fine. If you are okay with mailing it to them along with a deposit slip the address is :

Norway Savings Bank

PO Box 8550

Portland Maine 04102

Julie's Address is:

172 Bradley St.

Portland Maine 04102

To: Portland Rent Board
From: James Lannon
Re: Tenants Rights Complaint

Hello,

This is in response to the Tenants Rights Complaint issued by Jaime Devins and Evan Long regarding #44 Dirigo St.

Overview:

Jaime and Evan have been my tenants for approximately 12 years. In this time, I have found them to be very good renters and the monthly rent was consistently paid promptly.

They have been on a month-to-month tenancy since about 2014. They have been given the opportunity to enter into a lease, but each time have opted for the flexibility of month-to-month.

Julie and I live separately. We both do our best to manage and care for the property. Typically, she will handle business aspects of the property whereas I will conduct maintenance and repairs when needed.

In April of 2023, Julie and I entered into a discussion regarding the future of the property. Our eldest son has just started college, and his younger brother is finishing his senior year at Portland High School and will be entering into post graduate education in the fall of 2025. We were weighing our options with the property as we take on the role of funding the cost of two tuition payments. During this discussion, I expressed that the unit currently occupied by Jaime and Evan needed a large amount of work if listing the property is what we decide.

Julie had preferred to keep the situation 'as is' and continue to rent to Jaime and Evan. I was opposed, and wanted to reacquire the property to make repairs to the unit as the house is about 100 years old.

In October, Julie entered into conversation with Jaime and Evan regarding a raise in rent. This would bring the monthly rent from \$1150 to \$1250. It's worth noting that this is for a 3+ bedroom unit occupying approximately 1600 square feet. During that discussion, Julie and I were made aware of procedural paperwork that was possibly out of step with Portland Rental Ordinance. Because of this, Julie worked toward trying to get the paperwork in order.

During this time, I still contested her opinion and maintained that the property should be reacquired. My argument was that the repairs and improvements needed would be extensive enough to secure water and electricity to the unit while I completed the work.

In May of 2024, I left Portland to return to work overseas. At this time, the apartment paperwork had not yet been completed. In conversation with Julie, I told her that I would be taking forward steps to reacquire the apartment. At this point to not only make repairs, but also to get the apartment caught up to where all the administrative work was correctly done. On June 1st, I sent Jaime and Evan a certified letter making them aware of the plan effective September 1st.

Response to Complaints:

- 1) *Failing to post in the building or otherwise to provide to us a copy of Portland's tenant rights documents and other required information regarding building-related environmental hazards when Portland's Rent Control Ordinance went into effect and when it subsequently has been updated, in violation of sec 6-224(b) and sec 6-224(c);*

This is accurate. As stated in the 'Details' of the complaints, we too were unaware of the changes to ordinance, and did not post or provided the Jaime and Evan with such. Although ignorance is not an excuse, Julie and I don't make a living as landlords. We chose to rent the unit at what we derived was a fair price for a safe place to live.

- 2) *Falsely registering an inflated base rent amount for the apartment with Portland's rental registration service, in violation of sec 6-151 and 6-233(a);*

When the apartment was registered, we were publishing what we believed the unit to be worth based on what we had researched (comps), not what it was currently rented at. We understood we were renting the apartment to Jaime and Evan far below market value, but were ok with that as they had been solid and trustworthy tenants.

- 3) *Failing to register additional required information about the building, in violation of sec 6-151;*

Similar to the above. The apartment is not where it needs to be administratively. This adds to the decision to vacate the apartment.

- 4) *Falsely registering 44 Dirigo Street as an owner-occupied building with Portland's rental registration service, in violation of sec 6-151;*

At the time of registering, my intention was to move into the building. Financial and personal decisions prevented that and the paperwork has yet to be changed to reflect as much.

- 5) *Raising the rent of our apartment for August 2022 by 9.52%, from \$1050.00/month to \$1150.00/month, without providing the requisite explanation, in violation of sec 6-234(d);*

The above is correct. The apartment was originally rented to Jaime and Evan in 2012 at a cost of \$950. By 2024 the rent was \$1150. An increase of \$200 in 12 years of habitation.

- 6) *Attempting to raise the rent of our apartment for December 2023 by 8.70%, from \$1150.00/month to \$1250.00/month, without providing the requisite explanation, in violation of sec 6-234(d); and*

Knowing that we hadn't properly fulfilled our obligation of completing the paperwork on our end, this increase in rent did not go into effect.

- 7) *Retaliating against us when we requested information about our renters' rights, building-related environmental hazards, and the City-mandated process for a rent increase, in violation of sec 6-237(e).*

Complaint #7 was disappointing to read.

Julie and I were faced with a business decision, and we made the decision that we thought best for us financially. Retaliation is not and has not been how we operate. Julie is a city employee and works as a Programmer for the Parks department and I make my living at sea far from home.

Together, we do our best to raise 2 children and stay active in their lives and our community. As I expressed, Jaime and Evan are great tenants and have been for the last 12 years. If they felt my, or Julie's frustration with the process, then for that I am sorry. The frustration was not directed toward them, nor was there any ill-will directed toward them when I issued the 'Notice to Quit'. In fact, I did reach out to them with a personal email because I did not like how impersonal the Notice template read.

The decision to issue the Notice to Quit was to bring the space back to a place where it needed to be (firstly) so that we have options as to what to do forward (secondly).

Regarding the water being shut off, this is reflective of a customer (me) not paying the bill on time. This is my fault, but was certainly not part of some targeted retaliation. In fact, I can provide proof with how many late payments and disconnections I have personally had for the property at 172 Bradley. I will be enrolling in auto pay to avoid this going forward.

I would also like to address the following:

We already have lived in this apartment through many repairs to the building over the past decade, including heavy roof repairs lasting an entire summer, and accommodating repairs never had been a problem previously, with Jim in 2019 even booking us a hotel room and offering us the use of his own apartment while he made an overnight repair in

the bathroom (Attachment V). Again, no effort or offer was made by the landlords to try to negotiate this situation with us in a way which would not require us to vacate.

This statement is correct. In 12 years of tenancy, there have been repairs.

In response to the roof repair- I was contacted by Jaime and Evan to alert me to a leak. On inspection it was determined that the building needed a new roof. Due to the height above the ground, the estimates to have it done came back between \$30,000 and \$40,000. Knowing that I did not have the financial resources, I made the decision to do the job myself. I hired a helper and we spent approximately 3 weeks in August putting a new roof on the building. This could have been completed in shorter time, but it was my first time replacing a roof.

Also adding to the delay was a request from Jaime and Evan; I had been starting work for the day at 7:30 AM to try and accomplish as much as possible before the heat of the day set in. If you've ever spent time on an asphalt roof in the August sun, I am sure you understand how hot it can get. They expressed that it was too early in the day as they were still sleeping. I conceded to their request and pushed my start time back to 9:00 AM.

As expressed by Jaime and Evan, I have secured them hotel lodging when repairs would displace and inconvenience them. I have also offered them my personal space when plumbing work was done that would interrupt their ability to take showers. I do believe this stipulation is probably mandated within state or city ordinance, but I have never reviewed such ordinance. -I was simply doing what I felt was the right thing to do.

As expressed earlier, I am not a property manager by trade, and it is not my career. I do not own any other income property. Considering that the money that gets reinvested into the property, I also do not make a passive income with the property.

When we purchased the home many years ago, we had many things to consider. One of which was using the property as a vehicle to pay college tuition. Another thought was having the property in the event our aging parents needed to live closer.

To reiterate, there was nothing done that was meant or intended to be of a retaliatory nature. Only a decision to do what was financially prudent as well as to get the unit and all associating documentation up to date and accurate.

James Lannon

44 Dirigo



james lannon

To: Jaime Devins

[↩ Reply](#) [↩ Reply all](#) [➡ Forward](#) [🗉](#) [...](#)

Tue 5/28/2024 5:19 PM

Hi Jaime and Evan,

Hope you are both well.

I wanted to let you know that I would like to reacquire the space at 44 Dirigo St on September 1st. The reasons behind this are about the building and capital repairs I need to make as well as the uncertainty of my own future living arrangements.

It is important to know that this has nothing to do with you guys as tenants. And you will get nothing short of an exemplary recommendation if and when needed.

I wanted to send you this notice in an email, because I will also have to send a certified letter which may come across as somewhat cold and unfeeling.

Best Regards,

Jim

[↩ Reply](#)

[➡ Forward](#)



Memorandum

TO: Zachary Lenhart, Licensing and Housing Safety Manager
CC: Dylan Orr, Rental Registration Coordinator
FROM: Adam O'Connor, Rental Registration Inspector
DATE: July 8, 2024
RE: Rent Control – 44 Dirigo Street

Below is a timeline of contacts and actions associated with the property located at 44 Dirigo Street:

- On June 3, 2024 at approximately 3:55pm a voicemail was left on my office phone by Evan Long (tenant).
 - Tenant requested registration information for the property/unit. Tenant stated they believed the filings may be inaccurate.
- Call was returned to the tenant on June 4, 2024
 - Tenant stated they were a long-time tenant of the unit.
 - Discussed the current registration status of the unit:
 - Long-Term Rental registration documents had not been submitted and fees not paid for 2023 and 2024
 - Informed tenant of the registered base rent of \$1,450 and the exemption claimed for owner occupancy
 - Tenant was asked if they would like to open a code case and complaint on the property. Tenant declined and indicated they would be submitting directly to the Rent Board.
- On June 4, 2024 I drove to the property located at 44 Dirigo to view the property.
 - Pictures were taken of the property from the street and of the mailboxes
 - Property contains 2 units.
 - The name on the mailbox for Unit 1 was “Worden”
 - The names on the mailbox for Unit 2 were “Long” and “Devins”
 - These are the names of the complainants in this matter.



389 Congress Street, Portland, Maine 04101 | 207-874-8300 | rentcontrol@portlandmaine.gov





-NOTICE OF VIOLATION-



City of Portland | Permitting and Inspections
Zachary Lenhart, Licensing and Housing Safety Manager

April 21, 2023

Dear Property Owner/Manager,

The City of Portland requires all Rental Units be registered every year by January 1st. The Licensing and Housing Safety Office records show that your rental property is out of compliance. Renewal requires "Additional Rental Information" for each rental unit be submitted every year and the payment of registration fees.

Registrations that were not completed by February 15 shall be subject to a late fee of \$200 per unit. Registrations shall not be renewed unless and until the registrant submits all required information and pays any applicable late fee. Incomplete or inaccurate registrations may be rejected and subject to all applicable late fees upon resubmission.

To avoid further penalties and enforcement actions you must complete an *2023 LONG-TERM RENTAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION* form for each unit and pay all of the associated fees. A copy of that form is on the back of this letter.

If you fail to complete the rental registration on or before May 31, 2023, then this matter will be referred to the City of Portland Corporation Counsel's Office for legal action, and may include civil penalties at a minimum of \$100 per day, and other legal remedies.

Long Term Rental Licenses can be renewed online through the Citizen Self Service Portal, more information can be found at www.portlandmaine.gov/rental-registration.

Landlords may also submit forms and payment by mail or in person to:

City of Portland - Licensing & Registration
389 Congress St, Rm 307
Portland, ME 04101

Include a check or money order payable to "City of Portland" (please include the license number on check).

If you have questions or believe you received this letter in error, please contact our office at housingsafety@portlandmaine.gov or by phone at 207-756-8131.

Sincerely,

Zachary J. Lenhart

Licensing & Housing Safety Manager

-NOTICE OF VIOLATION-

City of Portland | Permitting and Inspections
Zachary Lenhart, Licensing and Housing Safety Manager



June 7, 2024

Dear Property Owner/Manager,

The City of Portland requires all Rental Units be registered every year by January 1st. The Licensing and Housing Safety Office records show that your rental property is out of compliance due to incomplete registration or failure to register the property. If you no longer own the property or operate it as a long-term rental please let us know, we can be contacted at rentcontrol@portlandmaine.gov or 207-874-8900.

Annual registration of Rental Units requires applicants to:

1. Provide rental information about each rental unit in accordance with the Rent Control Ordinance passed in November 2020.
2. Pay rental registration fees, including any late fees.
3. Disclose any changes to the registration (phone number, email, mailing, etc).

To avoid further fees and enforcement actions you must pay the included invoice and complete the included '2024 Long-Term Rental Additional Information' for each Rental Unit being registered. Registrations are incomplete if the additional rental information for each unit is not submitted.

If you do fail to register on or before July 10, 2024, then this matter will be referred to the City of Portland Corporation Counsel's Office for legal action, and may include civil penalties at a minimum of \$100 per day, and other legal remedies.

You may submit your registration by mail or in person to:

City of Portland - Licensing & Registration
389 Congress St, Rm 307
Portland, ME 04101

Include a check or money order payable to "City of Portland" (please include the invoice number on check).

More information and electronic forms can be found at www.portlandmaine.gov/rental-registration.

If you have questions or believe you received this letter in error, please contact our office at rentcontrol@portlandmaine.gov or by phone at 207-874-8900.

Sincerely,

Zachary J. Lenhart
Licensing & Housing Safety Manager



City of Portland

Permitting and Inspections Department

Business Name: Julie Lannon

Classification: Two Family

Business Location: 44 DIRIGO ST
Portland, ME

Description:

Owner: Julie Lannon

License Number: 20163417

Issued Date: 11/9/2022

Expiration Date: 12/31/2022

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jessica Blais Hanscombe".

Jessica Blais Hanscombe,
Director of Permitting and Inspections

THIS LICENSE IS NOT TRANSFERRABLE
PLEASE POST IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE

Julie Lannon
172 BRADLEY ST
PORTLAND, ME 04102



ADDRESS OWNER PARCEL ID REAL PROPERTY ADVANCED SEARCH

PROFILE

VALUES

SALES

RESIDENTIAL

COMMERCIAL

OUTBUILDINGS

SKETCH

ASSESSMENT
HISTORY

PHOTOS

MAP

AERIAL VIEW

HISTORICAL RECORD
CARDS

PARID: 188 A009001

LANNON JAMES J JR AND JULIE E CONTRERAS-
LANNON JTS

44 DIRIGO ST

Parcel

Parcel ID	188 A009001
Property Location	44 DIRIGO ST
Unit	
Living Unit	2
Land Use Code	12 - TWO FAMILY Verify legal use with the Zoning Office
Land Area (acreage)	.1244
Land Area (square footage)	5419
Notes	188-A-9 DIRIGO ST 44 STEVENS AVE 5418 SF
Utilities	2 - PUBLIC WATER 3 - PUBLIC SEWER 4 - GAS

Owners


Owner	LANNON JAMES J JR & JULIE E CONTRERAS-LANNON JTS
Address	172 BRADLEY ST
City, State, Zip	PORTLAND ME 04102
Deed Date	20030306
Book	18981
Page	021

1 of 1

[Return to Search Results](#)

Actions

- [Printable Summary](#)
- [Printable Version](#)



CONTACT US

City of Portland Assessor's Office
389 Congress Street, Room 115
Portland, ME 04101
Phone: 207-874-8486
Email: assessors@portlandmaine.gov

Directions
Hours
Media Inquiries
Staff Directory

POPULAR DEPARTMENTS

[COVID-19 Information](#)
[ReCode Portland](#)
[Elections & Voting](#)
[Vehicle Registration](#)
[Permitting & Inspections](#)
[Trash & Recycling](#)

HELPFUL LINKS

[METRO Bus](#)
[Visit Portland](#)
[Portland's Downtown District](#)
[Portland Regional Chamber](#)
[Creative Portland](#)

USING THIS SITE

[Site Map](#)
[Accessibility](#)
[Privacy](#)